

THE REPRESENTATION-THEORETIC RANK OF THE DOUBLES OF QUASI-QUANTUM GROUPS

DANIEL BULACU AND BLAS TORRECILLAS

ABSTRACT. We compute the representation-theoretic rank of a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H and of its quantum double $D(H)$, within the rigid braided category of finite dimensional left $D(H)$ -modules.

1. INTRODUCTION

The definition of a quasi-bialgebra H ensures that the category of left H -modules ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ is a monoidal category, and for a quasi-Hopf algebra H the definition ensures that ${}_H\mathcal{M}^{\text{fd}}$, the category of finite dimensional left H -modules, is a monoidal category with duality. Moreover, a quasi-Hopf algebra is called quasi-triangular (ribbon) if the monoidal category ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ is braided (ribbon, at least in the finite dimensional case). So, in general, the study of quasi-Hopf algebras is strictly connected to the study of monoidal, or braided (ribbon) categories. Consequently, when we want to define some classes of quasi-Hopf algebras the first thing we should think about is to reword at a categorical level the corresponding definitions given in the classical Hopf case. If it is possible, then we can come back to the quasi-Hopf case. For example, this was the case in [3], where using the categorical interpretation of a factorizable Hopf algebra (due to Majid [27]), we were able to define and study the class of factorizable quasi-Hopf algebras. But sometimes this point of view cannot be followed. For further use we choose as an example the cosemisimple notion.

It is well known that a Hopf algebra H is cosemisimple if the category of left or right H -comodules is cosemisimple. In the quasi-Hopf case we cannot consider H -comodules, because the quasi-Hopf algebra H is not coassociative; thus in this case we have to look at some other objects. One of these objects could be the quantum double $D(H)$ associated to a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H . In the Hopf case we know that $D(H)$ is semisimple if and only if H is semisimple and cosemisimple (see [31]). But, once again, at this moment we cannot follow this path because in the quasi-Hopf case we do not know the form of an integral in $D(H)$. However, in the Hopf case, the Maschke-type theorem asserts that H is cosemisimple if and only if there exists a left or right integral λ in H^* such that $\lambda(1) = 1$. Now by [1] this is equivalent to the existence of a bilinear form $\sigma \in (H \otimes H)^*$ such that $h_1\sigma(h_2, h') = \sigma(h, h'_1)h'_2$ and $\sigma(h_1, h_2) = \varepsilon(h)$, for all $h, h' \in H$. This approach was

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30.

Key words and phrases. quasi-Hopf algebra, Schrödinger representation, quantum dimension, trace formula.

During the time of the preparation of this work the first author was financially supported by the LIEGRITS program, a Marie Curie Research Training Network funded by the European community as project MRTN-CT 2003-505078. He would like to thank to the guest, University of Almeria (Spain), for their warm hospitality.

used by Hausser and Nill in [21] for the quasi-Hopf algebra setting. They proved that for a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H there is a one-to-one correspondence between left cointegrals $\lambda \in H^*$ (see the definition below) satisfying the normalized condition $\lambda(S^{-1}(\alpha)\beta) = 1$ (here α and β are the elements which occur in the definition of the antipode S of H), and certain bilinear forms $\sigma \in (H \otimes H)^*$ satisfying properties which generalize the ones described above. This is why we will say that a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H is cosemisimple if H admits a left cointegral λ obeying $\lambda(S^{-1}(\alpha)\beta) = 1$. Furthermore, we believe that an integral in $D(H)$ has the form $\beta \mapsto \lambda \bowtie r$, so if it is the case then $D(H)$ is semisimple if and only if H is semisimple and the left cointegral λ satisfies $\lambda(S^{-1}(\alpha)\beta) = 1$ (here r is a right integral in H). Comparing this with the Hopf algebra case we will land to the same definition for a finite dimensional cosemisimple quasi-Hopf algebra.

The starting point of this paper was the intention to generalize some important results concerning semisimple cosemisimple Hopf algebras to quasi-Hopf algebras. Namely, a Hopf algebra over a field of characteristic zero is semisimple if and only if it is cosemisimple, if and only if it is involutory, this means $S^2 = id_H$. The result was proved by Larson and Radford in [23, 24], answering in positive, in characteristic zero, the fifth conjecture of Kaplansky. They have also proved that in characteristic p sufficiently large a semisimple cosemisimple Hopf algebra is involutory. Afterwards, using this result and a lifting theorem, Etingof and Gelaki prove in [18] that the antipode of a semisimple cosemisimple Hopf algebra over any field is an involution.

Trying to generalize the above results for quasi-Hopf algebras, the first problem which occur is: what could be an involutory quasi-Hopf algebra? We believe that we cannot keep the same definition as in the Hopf case because, in general, S^2 is not a coalgebra morphism, while id_H is. So one of the purposes of this paper is to find a plausible definition for this notion. Toward this end we will use a categorical point of view due to Majid [26]. More exactly, he has observed that $\text{Tr}(S^2)$, the trace of S^2 , is an important invariant of any finite dimensional Hopf algebra. In fact, he has shown that $\text{Tr}(S^2)$ arises in a very natural way as the representation-theoretic rank of the Schrödinger representation of H , $\underline{\dim}(H)$, or as the representation-theoretic rank of the canonical representation of the quantum double, $\underline{\dim}(D(H))$. Correlating this with the trace formula obtained by Radford in [32] we get that

$$\underline{\dim}(H) = \underline{\dim}(D(H)) = \text{Tr}(S^2) = \varepsilon(r)\lambda(1),$$

where λ is a left integral in H^* and r is a right integral in H such that $\lambda(S(r)) = 1$. By the Larson-Radford-Etingof-Gelaki results we conclude that

$$\underline{\dim}(H) = \underline{\dim}(D(H)) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } H \text{ is not semisimple or cosemisimple} \\ \dim(H) & , \text{ if } H \text{ is both semisimple and cosemisimple.} \end{cases}$$

The aim of this paper is to generalize some of the results presented above for quasi-Hopf algebras by computing the representation-theoretic rank of a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H and of its quantum double $D(H)$. We hope that the point of view presented here will open the way for solving the remaining ones. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 we compute the Schrödinger representation associated to a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H . In fact, we will transfer the associated algebra structure of H within the category of left Yetter-Drinfeld modules constructed in [9, 8] to the category of left $D(H)$ -modules, through some monoidal isomorphisms explicitly constructed in [4] and [12]. Now following

[26], in any braided rigid monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ we can compute the representation-theoretic rank of an object V of $\mathcal{C}$. Considering $\mathcal{C} = {}_{D(H)}\mathcal{M}^{\text{fd}}$, the category of finite dimensional left $D(H)$ -modules, we will compute in Section 4 the representation-theoretic rank of H and $D(H)$ within $\mathcal{C}$, $\underline{\dim}(H)$ and $\underline{\dim}(D(H))$, respectively. After some technical and complicated computations we will find that

$$\underline{\dim}(H) = \underline{\dim}(D(H)) = \text{Tr}(h \mapsto S^{-2}(S(\beta)\alpha h\beta S(\alpha))) .$$

Therefore, we call a quasi-Hopf algebra H involutory if $h \mapsto S^{-2}(S(\beta)\alpha h\beta S(\alpha)) = \text{id}_H$. Firstly, because, just as in the Hopf case, the above representation-theoretic ranks reduce to the classical dimension of H , provided H involutory. Secondly, because $\mathbf{g} = \beta S(\alpha)$ is invertible with $\mathbf{g}^{-1} = S(\beta)\alpha$ and $\mathbf{g}^{-1}$ defines both S^2 as a inner automorphism of H and (assuming k algebraically closed of characteristic zero) that unique pivotal structure in [17, Propositions 8.24 and 8.23]. More explicitly, if k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero then $\mathbf{g}^{-1}$ gives rise to the unique pivotal structure of ${}_H\mathcal{M}^{\text{fd}}$ with respect to which the categorical dimensions of simple objects coincide with their usual dimensions. (Complete proofs for the above facts, examples, properties and results on involutory (dual) quasi-Hopf algebras can be found in [13].) Furthermore, specializing the above equality for $H = H_\omega^*$, the quasi-Hopf algebra considered in [29], we obtain that $\underline{\dim}(D^\omega(H)) = \dim(H)$, where $D^\omega(H)$ is the quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra constructed in [11], and we should stress the fact that in this particular case both H_ω^* and $D^\omega(H)$ are involutory in the quasi-Hopf sense mentioned above.

Finally, in Section 5 we prove a trace formula for quasi-Hopf algebras. Specializing it for the endomorphism $h \mapsto S^{-2}(S(\beta)\alpha h\beta S(\alpha))$ we get that

$$\text{Tr}(h \mapsto S^{-2}(S(\beta)\alpha h\beta S(\alpha))) = \varepsilon(r)\lambda(S^{-1}(\alpha)\beta),$$

where λ is a left cointegral in H and r is a right integral in H such that $\lambda(r) = 1$. Combining the results in the last two Sections we conclude that $\underline{\dim}(H) = \underline{\dim}(D(H)) = \varepsilon(r)\lambda(S^{-1}(\alpha)\beta)$, so this scalar is non-zero if and only if H is both semisimple and cosemisimple.

In view of these results we believe that a semisimple cosemisimple quasi-Hopf algebra is always involutory and therefore, in this case, $\underline{\dim}(H) = \underline{\dim}(D(H)) = \dim(H)$, the classical dimension of H . In this direction we do not know if the techniques used in [23, 24, 18] can be generalized for quasi-Hopf algebras. But without doubt it is an interesting problem which is worthwhile to study.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Quasi-Hopf algebras. We work over a commutative field k . All algebras, linear spaces etc. will be over k ; unadorned $\otimes$ means $\otimes_k$. Following Drinfeld [16], a quasi-bialgebra is a four-tuple $(H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \Phi)$ where H is an associative algebra with unit, Φ is an invertible element in $H \otimes H \otimes H$, and $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ and $\varepsilon : H \rightarrow k$ are algebra homomorphisms satisfying the identities

$$(2.1) \quad (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(h)) = \Phi(\Delta \otimes \text{id})(\Delta(h))\Phi^{-1},$$

$$(2.2) \quad (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(\Delta(h)) = h \otimes 1, \quad (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(\Delta(h)) = 1 \otimes h,$$

for all $h \in H$, and Φ has to be a 3-cocycle, in the sense that

$$(2.3) \quad (1 \otimes \Phi)(\text{id} \otimes \Delta \otimes \text{id})(\Phi)(\Phi \otimes 1) = (\text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \Delta)(\Phi)(\Delta \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})(\Phi),$$

$$(2.4) \quad (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(\Phi) = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1.$$

The map Δ is called the coproduct or the comultiplication, ε the counit and Φ the reassociator. As for Hopf algebras we denote $\Delta(h) = h_1 \otimes h_2$, but since Δ is only quasi-coassociative we adopt the further convention (summation understood):

$$(\Delta \otimes id)(\Delta(h)) = h_{(1,1)} \otimes h_{(1,2)} \otimes h_2, \quad (id \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(h)) = h_1 \otimes h_{(2,1)} \otimes h_{(2,2)},$$

for all $h \in H$. We will denote the tensor components of Φ by capital letters, and the ones of Φ^{-1} by small letters, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= X^1 \otimes X^2 \otimes X^3 = T^1 \otimes T^2 \otimes T^3 = V^1 \otimes V^2 \otimes V^3 = \dots \\ \Phi^{-1} &= x^1 \otimes x^2 \otimes x^3 = t^1 \otimes t^2 \otimes t^3 = v^1 \otimes v^2 \otimes v^3 = \dots \end{aligned}$$

H is called a quasi-Hopf algebra if, moreover, there exists an anti-morphism S of the algebra H and elements $\alpha, \beta \in H$ such that, for all $h \in H$, we have:

$$(2.5) \quad S(h_1)\alpha h_2 = \varepsilon(h)\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad h_1\beta S(h_2) = \varepsilon(h)\beta,$$

$$(2.6) \quad X^1\beta S(X^2)\alpha X^3 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad S(x^1)\alpha x^2\beta S(x^3) = 1.$$

Our definition of a quasi-Hopf algebra is different from the one given by Drinfeld [16] in the sense that we do not require the antipode to be bijective. Nevertheless, in the finite dimensional or quasi-triangular case this condition can be deleted because it follows from the other axioms, see [6] and [7].

Together with a quasi-Hopf algebra $H = (H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \Phi, S, \alpha, \beta)$ we also have H^{op} and H^{cop} as quasi-Hopf algebras, where "op" means opposite multiplication and "cop" means opposite comultiplication. The quasi-Hopf structures are obtained by putting $\Phi_{\text{op}} = \Phi^{-1}$, $\Phi_{\text{cop}} = (\Phi^{-1})^{321}$, $S_{\text{op}} = S_{\text{cop}} = S^{-1}$, $\alpha_{\text{op}} = S^{-1}(\beta)$, $\beta_{\text{op}} = S^{-1}(\alpha)$, $\alpha_{\text{cop}} = S^{-1}(\alpha)$ and $\beta_{\text{cop}} = S^{-1}(\beta)$.

The axioms for a quasi-Hopf algebra imply that $\varepsilon \circ S = \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon(\alpha)\varepsilon(\beta) = 1$, so, by rescaling α and β , we may assume without loss of generality that $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \varepsilon(\beta) = 1$. The identities (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) also imply that

$$(2.7) \quad (\varepsilon \otimes id \otimes id)(\Phi) = (id \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)(\Phi) = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1.$$

It is well-known that the antipode of a Hopf algebra is an anti-coalgebra morphism. For a quasi-Hopf algebra, we have the following statement: there exists an invertible element $f \in H \otimes H$ such that $(\varepsilon \otimes id)(f) = (id \otimes \varepsilon)(f) = 1$ and

$$(2.8) \quad f\Delta(S(h))f^{-1} = (S \otimes S)(\Delta^{\text{op}}(h)), \quad \text{for all } h \in H,$$

where $\Delta^{\text{op}}(h) = h_2 \otimes h_1$. f can be computed explicitly. First set

$$\begin{aligned} A^1 \otimes A^2 \otimes A^3 \otimes A^4 &= (\Phi \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes id \otimes id)(\Phi^{-1}), \\ B^1 \otimes B^2 \otimes B^3 \otimes B^4 &= (\Delta \otimes id \otimes id)(\Phi)(\Phi^{-1} \otimes 1) \end{aligned}$$

and then define $\gamma, \delta \in H \otimes H$ by

$$(2.9) \quad \gamma = S(A^2)\alpha A^3 \otimes S(A^1)\alpha A^4 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = B^1\beta S(B^4) \otimes B^2\beta S(B^3).$$

f and f^{-1} are then given by the formulas

$$(2.10) \quad f = (S \otimes S)(\Delta^{\text{op}}(x^1))\gamma\Delta(x^2\beta S(x^3)),$$

$$(2.11) \quad f^{-1} = \Delta(S(x^1)\alpha x^2)\delta(S \otimes S)(\Delta^{\text{op}}(x^3)).$$

Moreover, $f = f^1 \otimes f^2$ and $f^{-1} = g^1 \otimes g^2$ satisfy the following relations:

$$(2.12) \quad f\Delta(\alpha) = \gamma, \quad \Delta(\beta)f^{-1} = \delta,$$

$$(2.13) \quad (1 \otimes f)(id \otimes \Delta)(f)\Phi(\Delta \otimes id)(f^{-1})(f^{-1} \otimes 1) = S(X^3) \otimes S(X^2) \otimes S(X^1),$$

$$(2.14) \quad f^1\beta S(f^2) = S(\alpha), \quad g^1S(g^2\alpha) = \beta, \quad S(\beta f^1)f^2 = \alpha.$$

In a Hopf algebra H , we obviously have the identity

$$h_1 \otimes h_2 S(h_3) = h \otimes 1, \text{ for all } h \in H.$$

We will need the generalization of this formula to quasi-Hopf algebras. Following [19, 20], we define

$$(2.15) \quad p_R = p^1 \otimes p^2 = x^1 \otimes x^2 \beta S(x^3), \quad q_R = q^1 \otimes q^2 = X^1 \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha X^3) X^2,$$

$$(2.16) \quad p_L = \tilde{p}^1 \otimes \tilde{p}^2 = X^2 S^{-1}(X^1 \beta) \otimes X^3, \quad q_L = \tilde{q}^1 \otimes \tilde{q}^2 = S(x^1) \alpha x^2 \otimes x^3.$$

For all $h \in H$, we then have

$$(2.17) \quad \Delta(h_1) p_R(1 \otimes S(h_2)) = p_R(h \otimes 1)$$

$$(2.18) \quad (S(h_1) \otimes 1) q_L \Delta(h_2) = (1 \otimes h) q_L.$$

Furthermore, the following relations hold

$$(2.19) \quad (1 \otimes S^{-1}(p^2)) q_R \Delta(p^1) = 1 \otimes 1$$

$$(2.20) \quad \Delta(q^1) p_R(1 \otimes S(q^2)) = 1 \otimes 1$$

$$(2.21) \quad (S(\tilde{p}^1) \otimes 1) q_L \Delta(\tilde{p}^2) = 1 \otimes 1$$

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi(\Delta \otimes id)(p_R)(p_R \otimes id) \\ &= (id \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(x^1) p_R)(1 \otimes f^{-1})(1 \otimes S(x^3) \otimes S(x^2)) \\ & \quad (q_R \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes id)(q_R) \Phi^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.23) \quad = (1 \otimes S^{-1}(f^2 X^3) \otimes S^{-1}(f^1 X^2))(id \otimes \Delta)(q_R \Delta(X^1)),$$

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1 \otimes q_L)(id \otimes \Delta)(q_L) \Phi \\ &= (S(x^2) \otimes S(x^1) \otimes 1)(f \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes id)(q_L \Delta(x^3)). \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebras and the quantum double. Recall that a quasi-Hopf algebra H is quasi-triangular if there exists an element $R \in H \otimes H$ such that

$$(2.25) \quad (\Delta \otimes id)(R) = \Phi_{312} R_{13} \Phi_{132}^{-1} R_{23} \Phi,$$

$$(2.26) \quad (id \otimes \Delta)(R) = \Phi_{231}^{-1} R_{13} \Phi_{213} R_{12} \Phi^{-1},$$

$$(2.27) \quad \Delta^{\text{op}}(h) R = R \Delta(h), \text{ for all } h \in H,$$

$$(2.28) \quad (\varepsilon \otimes id)(R) = (id \otimes \varepsilon)(R) = 1.$$

Here we use the following notation. If σ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$, we set $\Phi_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)\sigma(3)} = X^{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes X^{\sigma^{-1}(2)} \otimes X^{\sigma^{-1}(3)}$, and R_{ij} means R acting non-trivially in the i^{th} and j^{th} positions of $H \otimes H \otimes H$.

In [7] it is shown that R is invertible, and that the element

$$(2.29) \quad u = S(R^2 p^2) \alpha R^1 p^1$$

(with $p_R = p^1 \otimes p^2$ defined as in (2.15)) is invertible in H and satisfies for all $h \in H$ the following relation

$$(2.30) \quad S^2(h) = u h u^{-1}.$$

As in the Hopf algebra theory the most important example of quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra is produced by the double construction.

From [20, 5], we recall the definition of the quantum double $D(H)$ of a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H . Let $\{e_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$ be a basis of H , and $\{e^i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$ the corresponding dual basis of H^* . We can easily see that H^* , the linear dual of H ,

is not a quasi-Hopf algebra. But H^* has a dual structure coming from the initial structure of H . So H^* is a coassociative coalgebra, with comultiplication

$$\widehat{\Delta}(\varphi) = \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varphi(e_i e_j) e^i \otimes e^j,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\widehat{\Delta}(\varphi) = \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2 \Leftrightarrow \varphi(hh') = \varphi_1(h)\varphi_2(h'), \quad \forall h, h' \in H.$$

H^* is also an H -bimodule, by

$$\langle h \rightharpoonup \varphi, h' \rangle = \varphi(h'h), \quad \langle \varphi \leftharpoonup h, h' \rangle = \varphi(hh').$$

The convolution is a multiplication on H^* ; it is not associative, but only quasi-associative:

$$[\varphi\psi]\xi = (X^1 \rightharpoonup \varphi \leftharpoonup x^1)[(X^2 \rightharpoonup \psi \leftharpoonup x^2)(X^3 \rightharpoonup \xi \leftharpoonup x^3)], \quad \forall \varphi, \psi, \xi \in H^*.$$

We also introduce $\overline{S} : H^* \rightarrow H^*$ as the coalgebra antimorphism dual to S , this means $\langle \overline{S}(\varphi), h \rangle = \langle \varphi, S(h) \rangle$, for all $\varphi \in H^*$ and $h \in H$.

Now consider $\Omega \in H^{\otimes 5}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^2 \otimes \Omega^3 \otimes \Omega^4 \otimes \Omega^5 \\ (2.31) \quad &= X_{(1,1)}^1 y^1 x^1 \otimes X_{(1,2)}^1 y^2 x_1^2 \otimes X_2^1 y^3 x_2^2 \otimes S^{-1}(f^1 X^2 x^3) \otimes S^{-1}(f^2 X^3), \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in H \otimes H$ is the element defined in (2.10). We define the quantum double $D(H) = H^* \bowtie H$ as follows: as a k -linear space, $D(H)$ equals $H^* \otimes H$, and the multiplication is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &(\varphi \bowtie h)(\psi \bowtie h') \\ &= [(\Omega^1 \rightharpoonup \varphi \leftharpoonup \Omega^5)(\Omega^2 \rightharpoonup \psi_2 \leftharpoonup \Omega^4)] \bowtie \Omega^3[(\overline{S}^{-1}(\psi_1) \rightharpoonup h) \leftharpoonup \psi_3]h' \\ (2.32) \quad &= [(\Omega^1 \rightharpoonup \varphi \leftharpoonup \Omega^5)(\Omega^2 h_{(1,1)} \rightharpoonup \psi \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(h_2)\Omega^4)] \bowtie \Omega^3 h_{(1,2)} h'. \end{aligned}$$

From [19, 20] we have that $D(H)$ is an associative algebra with unit $\varepsilon \bowtie 1$, and H is a unital subalgebra via the morphism $i_D : H \rightarrow D(H)$, $i_D(h) = \varepsilon \bowtie h$. Moreover, $D(H)$ is a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra with the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_D(\varphi \bowtie h) &= (\varepsilon \bowtie X^1 Y^1)(p_1^1 x^1 \rightharpoonup \varphi_2 \leftharpoonup Y^2 S^{-1}(p^2) \bowtie p_2^1 x^2 h_1) \\ (2.33) \quad &\otimes (X_1^2 \rightharpoonup \varphi_1 \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(X^3) \bowtie X_2^2 Y^3 x^3 h_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.34) \quad \varepsilon_D(\varphi \bowtie h) = \varepsilon(h)\varphi(S^{-1}(\alpha))$$

$$(2.35) \quad \Phi_D = (i_D \otimes i_D \otimes i_D)(\Phi)$$

$$(2.36) \quad S_D(\varphi \bowtie h) = (\varepsilon \bowtie S(h)f^1)(p_1^1 U^1 \rightharpoonup \overline{S}^{-1}(\varphi) \leftharpoonup f^2 S^{-1}(p^2) \bowtie p_2^1 U^2)$$

$$(2.37) \quad \alpha_D = \varepsilon \bowtie \alpha, \quad \beta_D = \varepsilon \bowtie \beta$$

$$(2.38) \quad R_D = \sum_{i=1}^n (\varepsilon \bowtie S^{-1}(p^2) e_i p_1^1) \otimes (e^i \bowtie p_2^1).$$

Here $p_R = p^1 \otimes p^2$ and $f = f^1 \otimes f^2$ are the elements defined by (2.15) and (2.10), respectively, and $U = U^1 \otimes U^2 \in H \otimes H$ is the following element

$$(2.39) \quad U = U^1 \otimes U^2 = g^1 S(q^2) \otimes g^2 S(q^1),$$

where $f^{-1} = g^1 \otimes g^2$ and $q_R = q^1 \otimes q^2$ are the elements defined by (2.11) and (2.15), respectively.

2.3. The center construction and the Yetter-Drinfeld modules. If H is a quasi-bialgebra then the category of left H -modules, denoted by ${}_H\mathcal{M}$, is a monoidal category and, moreover, if H is quasi-triangular then ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ is braided (the reader is invited to consult [22, XI.4] or [27, IX.1] for the complete definition of a monoidal or (pre) braided category, and also for the notion of a monoidal, respectively (pre) braided, functor between them). The tensor product $\otimes$ is given via Δ , for $U, V, W \in {}_H\mathcal{M}$ the associativity constraint on ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ is given by

$$(2.40) \quad a_{U,V,W}((u \otimes v) \otimes w) = X^1 \cdot u \otimes (X^2 \cdot v \otimes X^3 \cdot w),$$

the unit is k as a trivial H -module and the left and right unit constraints are the usual ones. When H is quasi-triangular we have the following braiding c on ${}_H\mathcal{M}$:

$$(2.41) \quad c_{U,V}(u \otimes v) = R^2 \cdot v \otimes R^1 \cdot u.$$

To any monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ we can associate two (pre) braided monoidal categories, namely the (weak) left and right centers $(\mathcal{W}_{l/r}(\mathcal{C}))$ $\mathcal{Z}_{l/r}(\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{C}$. For the (weak) left center construction the reader is invited to consult [25], for the right (weak) center construction [22, XIII.4], and for the connection between them [4], respectively.

Since for a quasi-bialgebra H the category ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ is monoidal it makes sense to consider $\mathcal{W}_l({}_H\mathcal{M})$ or $\mathcal{W}_r({}_H\mathcal{M})$. In [25] Majid computed the left weak center $\mathcal{W}_l({}_H\mathcal{M})$. The objects are identified with the so called left Yetter-Drinfeld modules, i.e. left H -modules M (denote the action by $h \otimes m \mapsto h \cdot m$) together with a k -linear map $\lambda_M : M \rightarrow H \otimes M$, $\lambda_M(m) := m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)}$, such that $\varepsilon(m_{(-1)})m_{(0)} = m$ and for all $h \in H$ and $m \in M$ the following relations hold:

$$(2.42) \quad \begin{aligned} & X^1 m_{(-1)} \otimes (X^2 \cdot m_{(0)})_{(-1)} X^3 \otimes (X^2 \cdot m_{(0)})_{(0)} \\ & = X^1 (Y^1 \cdot m)_{(-1)_1} Y^2 \otimes X^2 (Y^1 \cdot m)_{(-1)_2} Y^3 \otimes X^3 \cdot (Y^1 \cdot m)_{(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.43) \quad h_1 m_{(-1)} \otimes h_2 \cdot m_{(0)} = (h_1 \cdot m)_{(-1)} h_2 \otimes (h_1 \cdot m)_{(0)}.$$

The category of left Yetter-Drinfeld modules and k -linear maps that preserve the H -action and H -coaction is denoted by ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$.

The prebraided monoidal structure on $\mathcal{W}_l({}_H\mathcal{M})$ induces a prebraided monoidal structure on ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. This structure is such that the forgetful functor ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD} \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{M}$ is monoidal, and the coaction on the tensor product $M \otimes N$ of two left Yetter-Drinfeld modules M and N is given by

$$(2.44) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_{M \otimes N}(m \otimes n) &= X^1 (x^1 Y^1 \cdot m)_{(-1)} x^2 (Y^2 \cdot n)_{(-1)} Y^3 \\ &\otimes X^2 \cdot (x^1 Y^1 \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes X^3 x^3 \cdot (Y^2 \cdot n)_{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $M, N \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ the braiding $c_{M,N} : M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M$ is given by

$$(2.45) \quad c_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = m_{(-1)} \cdot n \otimes m_{(0)},$$

for all $m \in M$ and $n \in N$. Moreover, if H is a quasi-Hopf algebra then $c_{M,N}$ is invertible (see [8]) and therefore ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ is a braided category.

We notice that the right weak center $\mathcal{W}_r({}_H\mathcal{M})$ was computed in [4]: it is isomorphic to the category of left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules (see the definition below).

3. THE SCHRÖDINGER REPRESENTATION

Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra. It is well known that H is a left $D(H)$ -module algebra via the action $(\varphi \in H^*, h, h' \in H)$:

$$(\varphi \bowtie h) \bullet h' = \langle \varphi, S^{-1}((h \triangleright h')_1) \rangle (h \triangleright h')_2.$$

Here and also in the rest of the paper, $h \triangleright h' := h_1 h' S(h_2)$, for all $h, h' \in H$.

The aim of this section is to compute a similar structure for a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H . This fact is absolutely necessary in order to compute the representation-theoretic rank (or quantum dimension) of H within the braided category of left $D(H)$ -modules. Toward this end, we will use the following three results:

- 1) To any quasi-Hopf algebra H we can associate an algebra, denoted by H_0 , in the category of left Yetter-Drinfeld modules ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, cf. [8].

More precisely, we denote by H_0 the k -vector space H with the new multiplication $\circ$ defined by

$$(3.1) \quad h \circ h' = X^1 h S(x^1 X^2) \alpha x^2 X_1^3 h' S(x^3 X_2^3),$$

for all $h, h' \in H$. From [9] we know that H_0 is a left H -module algebra, this means an algebra in ${}_H\mathcal{M}$. The unit of H_0 is β and H_0 is an object of ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ via the left adjoint action $\triangleright$, i.e. for all $h, h' \in H$,

$$(3.2) \quad h \triangleright h' = h_1 h' S(h_2).$$

Moreover, H_0 becomes an algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ with the additional structure $\lambda_{H_0} : H_0 \rightarrow H \otimes H_0$, given by

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda_{H_0}(h) = h_{(-1)} \otimes h_{(0)} := X^1 Y_1^1 h_1 g^1 S(q^2 Y_2^2) Y^3 \otimes X^2 Y_2^1 h_2 g^2 S(X^3 q^1 Y_1^2),$$

for all $h \in H$, where $q_R = q^1 \otimes q^2$ is the element defined by (2.15).

- 2) There is a braided isomorphism between ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ and ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^{H^{\text{in}}}$, cf. [4].

First of all recall that the category of left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a quasi-bialgebra H , denoted by ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, has as objects left H -modules M (denote the action by $h \otimes m \mapsto h \cdot m$) for which H coacts on the right (denote the right H -coaction by $M \ni m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)} \in M \otimes H$) such that $\varepsilon(m_{(1)})m_{(0)} = m$ and for all $m \in M$ and $h \in H$ the following relations hold

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & (x^2 \cdot m_{(0)})_{(0)} \otimes (x^2 \cdot m_{(0)})_{(1)} x^1 \otimes x^3 m_{(1)} \\ &= x^1 \cdot (y^3 \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes x^2 (y^3 \cdot m)_{(1)} y^1 \otimes x^3 (y^3 \cdot m)_{(1)} y^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.5) \quad h_1 \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes h_2 m_{(1)} = (h_2 \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (h_2 \cdot m)_{(1)} h_1.$$

The morphisms are left H -linear, right H -colinear maps.

Since ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ can be identified with the right weak center of ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ (see [4]) we find that ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ has the following prebraided structure: the right H -coaction on the tensor product $M \otimes N$ of $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is the following:

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_{M \otimes N}(m \otimes n) &= x^1 X^1 \cdot (y^2 \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes x^2 \cdot (X^3 y^3 \cdot n)_{(0)} \\ &\quad \otimes x^3 (X^3 y^3 \cdot n)_{(1)} X^2 (y^2 \cdot m)_{(1)} y^1, \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in M, n \in N$, and the functor forgetting the H -coaction is monoidal, so

$$(3.7) \quad h \cdot (m \otimes n) = h_1 \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n.$$

The braiding $\mathbf{c}$ on ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is defined by $\mathbf{c}_{M,N} : M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M$,

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbf{c}_{M,N}(m \otimes n) = n_{(0)} \otimes n_{(1)} \cdot m,$$

for $m \in M$ and $n \in N$. Furthermore, if H is a quasi-Hopf algebra the braiding $\mathbf{c}$ is invertible. The inverse braiding is given by

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbf{c}_{M,N}^{-1}(n \otimes m) = q_1^1 x^1 S(q^2 x^3 (\tilde{p}^2 \cdot n)_{(1)} \tilde{p}^1) \cdot m \otimes q_2^1 x^2 \cdot (\tilde{p}^2 \cdot n)_{(0)},$$

where $q_R = q^1 \otimes q^2$ and $p_L = \tilde{p}^1 \otimes \tilde{p}^2$ are the elements defined in (2.15) and (2.16), respectively. Finally, for a quasi-Hopf algebra H , ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ will be our notation for the category of left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules endowed with the braided structure given by (3.6-3.8), and ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^{H^{\text{in}}}$ will be our notation for the category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ with monoidal structure (3.6-3.7) and the mirror reversed braiding $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{M,N} = \mathbf{c}_{N,M}^{-1}$.

Now, by [4] there is a monoidal isomorphism between ${}_H^H\mathcal{YD}$ and ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ produced by the following functor $\mathfrak{F}$. If $M \in {}_H^H\mathcal{YD}$ then $\mathfrak{F}(M) = M$ as left H -modules and with the right H -coaction defined by

$$(3.10) \quad \rho_{\mathfrak{F}(M)}(m) = \tilde{q}_1^2 X^2 \cdot (p^1 \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes \tilde{q}_2^2 X^3 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 X^1 (p^1 \cdot m)_{(-1)} p^2),$$

for all $m \in M$. The functor $\mathfrak{F}$ acts as identity on morphisms. Moreover, $\mathfrak{F}$ provides a braided isomorphism between ${}_H^H\mathcal{YD}$ and ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^{H^{\text{in}}}$, see [4] for more details.

3) The category ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is braided isomorphic to ${}_{D(H)}\mathcal{M}$.

Indeed, from [20, 12] we know that the above categories are isomorphic. The isomorphism is the following. To any left-right Yetter-Drinfeld module M we can associate a left $D(H)$ -module structure given by

$$(3.11) \quad (\varphi \bowtie h) \rightarrow m = \langle \varphi, q^2(h \cdot m)_{(1)} \rangle q^1 \cdot (h \cdot m)_{(0)},$$

for all $\varphi \in H^*$, $h \in H$ and $m \in M$, where, as usual, $q_R = q^1 \otimes q^2$ is the element defined in (2.15). Moreover, a morphism between two left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules becomes in this way a morphism between two left $D(H)$ -modules, so we have a well defined functor $\mathcal{F} : {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H \rightarrow {}_{D(H)}\mathcal{M}$. If H is a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra then $\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism (for the explicit description of the inverse of $\mathcal{F}$ see [12]). Also, it is not hard to see that the functor $\mathcal{F}$ is monoidal; the functorial isomorphism $\Psi_{M,N} : \mathcal{F}(M) \otimes \mathcal{F}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M \otimes N)$ is the identity morphism. Moreover, the next result asserts that it is a braided isomorphism.

Proposition 3.1. *Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra. Then the categories ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ and ${}_{D(H)}\mathcal{M}$ are braided isomorphic.*

Proof. By the previous comments, we only have to check that the functor $\mathcal{F}$ defined above is braided, this means that for any two left-right Yetter-Drinfeld modules M and N we have

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{c}_{M,N}) \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N)} = \Psi_{\mathcal{F}(N), \mathcal{F}(M)} \circ \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N)}.$$

Indeed, for all $m \in M$ and $n \in N$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{\mathcal{F}(N), \mathcal{F}(M)} \circ \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N)}(m \otimes n) \\
& \stackrel{(2.41, 2.38)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n (e^i \bowtie p_2^1) \rightarrow n \otimes (\varepsilon \bowtie S^{-1}(p^2) e_i p_1^1) \rightarrow m \\
& \stackrel{(3.11)}{=} \langle e^i, q^2(p_2^1 \cdot n)_{(1)} \rangle q^1 \cdot (p_2^1 \cdot n)_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(p^2) e_i p_1^1 \cdot m \\
& \stackrel{(3.5)}{=} q^1 \cdot (p_2^1 \cdot n)_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(p^2) q^2(p_2^1 \cdot n)_{(1)} p_1^1 \cdot m \\
& \stackrel{(2.19, 3.8)}{=} n_{(0)} \otimes n_{(1)} \cdot m = \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{c}_{M,N}) \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{F}(M), \mathcal{F}(N)}(m \otimes n),
\end{aligned}$$

as needed, so the proof is complete. $\square$

Using these braided isomorphisms we will transfer the algebra structure of H_0 in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ to ${}_{D(H)}\mathcal{M}$. In this way we will associate to any finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H a left $D(H)$ -module algebra structure. As in the classical Hopf algebra case, the obtained representation will be called the Schrödinger representation.

First we shall compute the algebra structure of H_0 in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}^H$, and then its left $D(H)$ -module algebra structure.

Proposition 3.2. *Let H be a quasi-Hopf algebra. Then H_0 is an algebra in the monoidal category ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ with the left H -module structure defined in (3.2) and with the right H -coaction $\rho_{H_0} : H_0 \rightarrow H_0 \otimes H$ given for all $h \in H$ by*

$$(3.12) \quad \rho_{H_0}(h) = h_{(0)} \otimes h_{(1)} = x^1 \tilde{q}^2 y_2^2 h_2 g^2 S(x^2 y_1^3) \otimes x^3 y_2^3 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 y_1^2 h_1 g^1) y^1,$$

where $q_L = \tilde{q}^1 \otimes \tilde{q}^2$ and $f^{-1} = g^1 \otimes g^2$ are the elements defined in (2.16) and (2.11), respectively. Moreover, H_0 is a left $D(H)$ -module algebra via the action

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\varphi \bowtie h) \rightarrow h' = \langle \varphi, q^2 x^3 y_2^3 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 y_1^2 (h \triangleright h')_1 g^1) y^1 \rangle \\
& \quad q_1^1 x^1 \tilde{q}^2 y_2^2 (h \triangleright h')_2 g^2 S(q_2^1 x^2 y_1^3),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.13}$$

for all $\varphi \in H^*$ and $h, h' \in H$, where $q_R = q^1 \otimes q^2$ is the element defined in (2.15).

Proof. Since the functor $\mathfrak{F}$ described in (3.10) is monoidal it carries algebras to algebras. Moreover, the isomorphisms $\Psi_{M,N} : \mathfrak{F}(M) \otimes \mathfrak{F}(N) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(M \otimes N)$, $M, N \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, which define the monoidal structure of the functor $\mathfrak{F}$ are trivial, so if A is an algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ then $\mathfrak{F}(A)$ is an algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ with the same multiplication and unit. Now, $\mathfrak{F}$ acts as identity on objects at the level of actions. Thus $\mathfrak{F}(H_0) = H_0$ as left H -module algebras, so we only have to show that that corresponding right H -action on H_0 through the functor $\mathfrak{F}$ is the one claimed in (3.12). For this we need the following relations

$$(3.14) \quad X^1 p_1^1 \otimes X^2 p_2^1 \otimes X^3 p^2 = x^1 \otimes x_1^2 p^1 \otimes x_2^2 p^2 S(x^3),$$

$$(3.15) \quad \tilde{q}^1 X^1 \otimes \tilde{q}_1^2 X^2 \otimes \tilde{q}_2^2 X^3 = S(x^1) \tilde{q}^1 x_1^2 \otimes \tilde{q}^2 x_2^2 \otimes x^3,$$

$$(3.16) \quad f^{-1} = \Delta(S(p^1)) U(p^2 \otimes 1),$$

$$(3.17) \quad \Delta(S(h_1)) U(h_2 \otimes 1) = U(1 \otimes S(h)), \quad \forall h \in H.$$

Indeed, (3.14) and (3.15) follow easily from (2.3), (2.5) and from the definitions of p_R and q_L , respectively. The relation (3.16) is an immediate consequence of (2.8) and (2.19), and the formula in (3.17) can be found in [21].

Finally, by (2.8) and (2.39) we get the following second formula for the left H -coaction on H_0 defined in (3.3)

$$\lambda_{H_0}(h) = h_{(-1)} \otimes h_{(0)} = (X^1 \otimes X^2) \Delta(Y^1 h S(Y^2)) U(Y^3 \otimes S(X^3)).$$

Now, for any $h \in H$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{H_0}(h) &\stackrel{(3.10)}{=} \tilde{q}_1^2 Z^2 \triangleright (p^1 \triangleright h)_{(0)} \otimes \tilde{q}_2^2 Z^3 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 Z^1 (p^1 \triangleright h)_{(-1)} p^2) \\ &= \tilde{q}_1^2 Z^2 \triangleright [X^2 (Y^1 (p^1 \triangleright h) S(Y^2))_2 U^2 S(X^3)] \\ &\quad \otimes \tilde{q}_2^2 Z^3 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 Z^1 X^1 (Y^1 (p^1 \triangleright h) S(Y^2))_1 U^1 Y^3 p^2) \\ &\stackrel{(3.2,3.14)}{=} \tilde{q}_1^2 Z^2 \triangleright [X^2 x_2^1 h_2 S(x_1^2 p^1)_2 U^2 S(X^3)] \\ &\quad \otimes \tilde{q}_2^2 Z^3 x^3 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 Z^1 X^1 x_1^1 h_1 S(x_1^2 p^1)_1 U^1 x_2^2 p^2) \\ &\stackrel{(3.2,3.17)}{=} \tilde{q}_1^2 \triangleright [Z_1^2 X^2 x_2^1 h_2 S(p^1)_2 U^2 S(Z_2^3 X^3 x^2)] \\ &\quad \otimes \tilde{q}_2^2 Z^3 x^3 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 Z^1 X^1 x_1^1 h_1 S(p^1)_1 U^1 p^2) \\ &\stackrel{(2.3,3.16,3.2)}{=} \tilde{q}_{(1,1)}^2 x^1 X^2 h_2 g^2 S(\tilde{q}_{(1,2)}^2 x^2 X_1^3) \otimes \tilde{q}_2^2 x^3 X_2^3 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 X^1 h_1 g^1) \\ &\stackrel{(2.1,3.15)}{=} x^1 \tilde{q}^2 y_2^2 h_2 g^2 S(x^2 y_1^3) \otimes x^3 y_2^3 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 y_1^2 h_1 g^1) y^1, \end{aligned}$$

as needed. The last assertion is a consequence of (3.11) and (3.12), the details are left to the reader. $\square$

Remark 3.3. Let H be a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra. Under this condition it was proved in [8] that H_0 is a braided Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H \mathcal{YD}$. Using the functor $\mathfrak{F}$ described above we obtain that H_0 has also a braided Hopf algebra structure in ${}^H_H \mathcal{YD}^{H^{\text{in}}}$; note that the left H -coaction of H_0 in ${}^H_H \mathcal{YD}$ (viewed as a braided Hopf algebra) is different from the coaction defined in (3.2), so the braided Hopf algebra structure of H_0 within ${}^H_H \mathcal{YD}^{H^{\text{in}}}$ is not induced by the algebra structure of H_0 obtained in Proposition 3.2. Furthermore, if we want to associate to H a braided Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H \mathcal{YD}^H$ (and therefore in ${}_{D(H)} \mathcal{M}$ when H is finite dimensional), is sufficient to consider H_0^{op} (or H_0^{cop}), the opposite (the coopposite, respectively) braided Hopf algebra associated to H_0 . We leave the verification of the details to the reader.

4. THE REPRESENTATION-THEORETIC RANK

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a braided category which is left rigid (the definition of a left rigid category can be found in [22, XIV.2] or [27, IX.3]). If V is an object of $\mathcal{C}$ and ev_V and $coev_V$ are the evaluation and coevaluation maps associated to V , then following [26] we define the representation-theoretic rank (or quantum dimension) of V as follows:

$$\underline{\dim}(V) = ev_V \circ c_{V,V^*} \circ coev_V.$$

If H is a quasi-Hopf algebra then the category ${}^H_H \mathcal{M}^{\text{fd}}$ of finite dimensional modules over H is left rigid. For $V \in {}^H_H \mathcal{M}$, its left dual is $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, k)$, with left H -action $\langle h \cdot \varphi, v \rangle = \langle \varphi, S(h) \cdot v \rangle$. The evaluation and coevaluation maps are given for all $\varphi \in V^*$ and $v \in V$ by

$$(4.1) \quad ev_V(\varphi \otimes v) = \varphi(\alpha \cdot v), \quad coev_V(1) = \sum_i \beta \cdot v_i \otimes v^i,$$

where $\{v_i\}_i$ is a basis in V with dual basis $\{v^i\}_i$ in V^* .

Therefore, if H is a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra and V a finite dimensional left H -module it makes sense to consider the representation-theoretic rank of V . If $R = R^1 \otimes R^2$ is an R -matrix for H then by [10] we have that

$$(4.2) \quad \underline{\dim}(V) = \sum_i v^i(S(R^2)\alpha R^1\beta \cdot v_i) = \text{Tr}(\eta),$$

where $\eta := S(R^2)\alpha R^1\beta$. (Here $\text{Tr}(\eta)$ is the trace of the linear endomorphism of V defined by $v \mapsto \eta \cdot v$.)

Let u be the element defined in (2.29). By [2, 7] we have that $S(R^2)\alpha R^1 = S(\alpha)u$, so by (2.30) we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \eta = S(S(\beta)\alpha)u = uS^{-1}(\alpha)\beta.$$

In the rest of this section H will be a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra, and $\{e_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$ a basis in H with dual basis $\{e^i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$ in H^* . Our goal is to compute $\underline{\dim}(H)$ and $\underline{\dim}(D(H))$ within the braided rigid category ${}_{D(H)}\mathcal{M}^{\text{fd}}$. To this end we shall compute for $D(H)$ the corresponding elements u and η , denoted in what follows by u_D and η_D , respectively.

Proposition 4.1. *Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra, and u_D and η_D the corresponding elements u and η for $D(H)$, the quantum double of H . Then*

$$(4.4) \quad u_D = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta \rightharpoonup \overline{S}^{-1}(e^i) \bowtie e_i \text{ and } \eta_D = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta \rightharpoonup \overline{S}^{-1}(e^i) \bowtie e_i S^{-1}(\alpha)\beta.$$

Proof. Let us start by noting that (2.13), (2.14) and (2.5) imply

$$(4.5) \quad f_1^1 p^1 \otimes f_2^1 p^2 S(f^2) = g^1 S(\tilde{q}^2) \otimes g^2 S(\tilde{q}^1).$$

Secondly, observe that the definition (2.36) of the antipode S_D of $D(H)$ can be reformulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & S_D(\varphi \bowtie h) \\ (2.32) \quad & \underset{=}{=} (\varepsilon \bowtie S(h)) \left((f_1^1 p^1)_1 U^1 \rightharpoonup \overline{S}^{-1}(\varphi) \leftarrow f^2 S^{-1}(f_2^1 p^2) \bowtie (f_1^1 p^1)_2 U^2 \right) \\ (4.5) \quad & \underset{=}{=} (\varepsilon \bowtie S(h)) \left(g_1^1 S(\tilde{q}^2)_1 U^1 \rightharpoonup \overline{S}^{-1}(\varphi) \leftarrow \tilde{q}^1 S^{-1}(g^2) \bowtie g_2^1 S(\tilde{q}^2)_2 U^2 \right) \\ (2.39, 2.8) \quad & \underset{=}{=} (\varepsilon \bowtie S(h)) \left(g_1^1 G^1 S(q^2 \tilde{q}_2^2) \rightharpoonup \overline{S}^{-1}(\varphi) \leftarrow \tilde{q}^1 S^{-1}(g^2) \bowtie g_2^1 G^2 S(q^1 \tilde{q}_1^2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we denoted by $G^1 \otimes G^2$ another copy of f^{-1} . Now, we claim that

$$(4.6) \quad S_D(\mathcal{R}^2)\alpha_D \mathcal{R}^1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta \rightharpoonup \overline{S}^{-1}(e^i) \leftarrow \alpha \bowtie e_i,$$

where $R_D = \mathcal{R}^1 \otimes \mathcal{R}^2$ is the R -matrix of $D(H)$ defined in (2.38). Indeed, we can easily check that

$$(4.7) \quad \overline{S}^{-1}(h \rightharpoonup \varphi) = \overline{S}^{-1}(\varphi) \leftarrow S(h) \text{ and } \overline{S}^{-1}(\varphi \leftarrow h) = S(h) \rightharpoonup \overline{S}^{-1}(\varphi),$$

for all $\varphi \in H^*$ and $h \in H$. Now, we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_D(\mathcal{R}^2)\alpha_D\mathcal{R}^1 \\
(2.38, 2.37) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n S_D(e^i \bowtie p_2^1)(\varepsilon \bowtie \alpha)(\varepsilon \bowtie S^{-1}(p^2)e_i p_1^1) \\
(2.32) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n (S(p_2^1)_1 g^1)_1 G^1 S(q^2 \tilde{q}_2^2) \rightarrow \overline{S}^{-1}(e^i) \leftarrow \tilde{q}^1 S^{-1}(S(p_2^1)_2 g^2) \\
& \quad \bowtie (S(p_2^1)_1 g^1)_2 G^2 S(q^1 \tilde{q}_1^2) \alpha S^{-1}(p^2) e_i p_1^1 \\
(2.8) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n g_1^1 G^1 S(q^2 (\tilde{q}^2 p_{(2,2)}^1)_2) \rightarrow \overline{S}^{-1}(p_1^1 \rightarrow e^i) \leftarrow \tilde{q}^1 p_{(2,1)}^1 S^{-1}(g^2) \\
& \quad \bowtie g_2^1 G^2 S(q^1 (\tilde{q}^2 p_{(2,2)}^1)_1) \alpha S^{-1}(p^2) e_i \\
(4.7, 2.18) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n g_1^1 G^1 S(q^2 p_2^1 \tilde{q}_2^2) \rightarrow \overline{S}^{-1}(e^i \leftarrow S^{-1}(p^2)) \leftarrow \tilde{q}^1 S^{-1}(g^2) \\
& \quad \bowtie g_2^1 G^2 S(q^1 p_1^1 \tilde{q}_1^2) \alpha e_i \\
(4.7, 2.19) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n g_1^1 G^1 S(\tilde{q}_2^2) \rightarrow \overline{S}^{-1}(e^i) \leftarrow \tilde{q}^1 S^{-1}(g^2) \bowtie g_2^1 G^2 S(\tilde{q}_1^2) \alpha e_i \\
(4.7) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n g_1^1 G^1 \rightarrow \overline{S}^{-1}(e^i) \leftarrow \tilde{q}^1 S^{-1}(g^2) \bowtie g_2^1 G^2 S(\tilde{q}_1^2) \alpha \tilde{q}_2^2 e_i \\
(2.5, 2.16) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n e^i \bowtie g_2^1 G^2 \alpha S^{-1}(\alpha S^{-1}(g^2) e_i g_1^1 G^1) \\
(2.14, 2.5) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n e^i \bowtie S^{-1}(\alpha e_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta \rightarrow \overline{S}^{-1}(e^i) \leftarrow \alpha \bowtie e_i.
\end{aligned}$$

We are now able to calculate the element u_D . Since H can be viewed as a quasi-Hopf subalgebra of $D(H)$ via the morphism i_D it follows that the corresponding element p_R for $D(H)$ is $(p_R)_D = p_D^1 \otimes p_D^2 = \varepsilon \bowtie p^1 \otimes \varepsilon \bowtie p^2$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_D \quad (2.29) \quad &= S_D(\mathcal{R}^2 p_D^2) \alpha_D \mathcal{R}^1 p_D^1 = (\varepsilon \bowtie S(p^2)) S_D(\mathcal{R}^2) \alpha_D \mathcal{R}^1 (\varepsilon \bowtie p^1) \\
(4.6, 2.32) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n S(p^2)_{(1,1)} \beta \rightarrow \overline{S}^{-1}(e^i) \leftarrow \alpha S^{-1}(S(p^2)_2) \bowtie S(p^2)_{(1,2)} e_i p^1 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n e^i \bowtie S(p^2)_{(1,2)} S^{-1}(\alpha S^{-1}(S(p^2)_2) e_i S(p^2)_{(1,1)} \beta) p^1 \\
(2.5) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n e^i \bowtie S^{-1}(S(p^1) \alpha p^2 e_i \beta) \\
(2.15, 2.6) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n e^i \bowtie S^{-1}(e_i \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta \rightarrow \overline{S}^{-1}(e^i) \bowtie e_i,
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. It is clear now that the above equality and (4.3) imply the expression of η_D in (4.4), so our proof is complete. $\square$

4.1. The representation-theoretic rank of H . We start to compute the representation-theoretic rank (or quantum dimension) of H within the braided rigid category ${}_{D(H)}\mathcal{M}^{\text{fd}}$. Let us start by noting that the action $\rightarrow$ obtained in (3.13) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varphi \bowtie h) &\rightarrow h' \\
&\stackrel{(2.3,2.5)}{=} \langle \varphi, S^{-1}(Y^3) q^2 Y_2^2 y_2^3 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 y_1^2 (h \triangleright h')_1 g^1) y^1 \rangle \\
&\quad Y^1 \tilde{q}^2 y_2^2 (h \triangleright h')_2 g^2 S(q^1 Y_1^2 y_1^3) \\
&\stackrel{(2.8,2.39)}{=} \langle \varphi, S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 (y^2 (h \triangleright h') S(Y^2 y^3))_1 U^1 Y^3) y^1 \rangle \\
&\quad Y^1 \tilde{q}^2 (y^2 (h \triangleright h') S(Y^2 y^3))_2 U^2 \\
&\stackrel{(2.18)}{=} \langle \varphi, S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 (Y_2^1 y^2 (h \triangleright h') S(Y^2 y^3))_1 U^1 Y^3) Y_1^1 y^1 \rangle \\
&\quad \tilde{q}^2 (Y_2^1 y^2 (h \triangleright h') S(Y^2 y^3))_2 U^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have showed that for all $\varphi \in H^*$ and $h, h' \in H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varphi \bowtie h) &\rightarrow h' = \langle \varphi, S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 (Y_2^1 y^2 (h \triangleright h') S(Y^2 y^3))_1 U^1 Y^3) Y_1^1 y^1 \rangle \\
(4.8) \quad &\quad \tilde{q}^2 (Y_2^1 y^2 (h \triangleright h') S(Y^2 y^3))_2 U^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So this action defines on H a left $D(H)$ -module structure, and on H_0 a left $D(H)$ -module algebra structure.

In order to "simplify" the computation for $\underline{\dim}(H)$ we need the following formulas.

Lemma 4.2. *Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra and $\{e_i\}_i$ a basis in H with dual basis $\{e^i\}$. Then for all $h, h', h'' \in H$ the following relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_i)_1 h') h \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_i)_{(2,2)} h'' S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_i)_{(2,1)}) \rangle \\
(4.9) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_i)_1 h') \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_i)_{(2,2)} h'' S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_i)_{(2,1)}) h \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_i)_1 X^1 p_1^1 h') h_1 \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_i)_{(2,2)} X^3 p^2 S(h_2) h'' \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_i)_{(2,1)} X^2 p_2^1) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_i)_1 X^1 p_1^1 h_1 h') \\
(4.10) \quad &\quad \times \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_i)_{(2,2)} X^3 p^2 h'' S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_i)_{(2,1)} X^2 p_2^1 h_2) \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where we denoted $q_L = \tilde{q}^1 \otimes \tilde{q}^2 = \tilde{Q}^1 \otimes \tilde{Q}^2$ and $p_R = p^1 \otimes p^2$.

Proof. In order to prove (4.9) we shall apply (2.18) twice, and then the properties of dual bases and (2.5). Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_i)_1 h') h \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_i)_{(2,2)} h'' S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_i)_{(2,1)}) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_i)_1 h') \tilde{q}^2 (h_2 \tilde{Q}^2)_2(e_i)_{(2,2)} h'' \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 (h_2 \tilde{Q}^2)_1(e_i)_{(2,1)}) h_1 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(S(h_{(2,1)}) \tilde{Q}^1(h_{(2,2)} e_i)_1 h') \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(h_{(2,2)} e_i)_{(2,2)} h'' \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(h_{(2,2)} e_i)_{(2,1)}) h_1 \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, h_{(2,2)} S^{-1}(h_{(2,1)} \beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_i)_1 h') \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_i)_{(2,2)} h'' \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_i)_{(2,1)}) h_1 \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_i)_1 h') \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_i)_{(2,2)} h'' S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_i)_{(2,1)}) h \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner we can prove (4.10). It follows applying (4.9), dual basis, (2.1) and (2.17), we leave the details to the reader. $\square$

Now, equation (2.9) shows by using (2.3) and (2.5) that

$$(4.11) \quad \gamma = \gamma^1 \otimes \gamma^2 = S(x^1 X^2) \alpha x^2 X_1^3 \otimes S(X^1) \alpha x^3 X_2^3,$$

$$(4.12) \quad \delta = \delta^1 \otimes \delta^2 = x^1 \beta S(x_2^3 X^3) \otimes x^2 X^1 \beta S(x_1^3 X^2).$$

We finally need the following formula

$$(4.13) \quad p_R = \Delta(S(\tilde{p}^1)) U(\tilde{p}^2 \otimes 1),$$

which can be found in [21]. We are now able to compute $\underline{\dim}(H)$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra. Then the representation-theoretic rank of H is*

$$(4.14) \quad \underline{\dim}(H) = \text{Tr} (h \mapsto S^{-2}(S(\beta) \alpha h \beta S(\alpha))) .$$

Proof. We know from Proposition 4.1 that in the quantum double case the element η_D is given by

$$\eta_D = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta \rightharpoonup \overline{S}^{-1}(e^i) \bowtie e_i S^{-1}(\alpha) \beta = \sum_{i=1}^n e^i \bowtie S^{-1}(\alpha e_i \beta) \beta.$$

We set $p_R = p^1 \otimes p^2 = P^1 \otimes P^2$, $q_L = \tilde{q}^1 \otimes \tilde{q}^2 = \tilde{Q}^1 \otimes \tilde{Q}^2$ and $f = f^1 \otimes f^2 = F^1 \otimes F^2$. Then by (4.2) and the above expression of η_D we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underline{\dim}(H) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e^j, (e^i \bowtie S^{-1}(\alpha e_i \beta) \beta) \rightarrow e_j \rangle \\
(4.8) \quad &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1(Y_2^1 y^2 (S^{-1}(\alpha e_i \beta) \beta \triangleright e_j) S(Y^2 y^3))_1 U^1 Y^3) Y_1^1 y^1 \rangle \\
&\quad \langle e^j, \tilde{q}^2(Y_2^1 y^2 (S^{-1}(\alpha e_i \beta) \beta \triangleright e_j) S(Y^2 y^3))_2 U^2 \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \langle e^k, Y_2^1 y^2 (S^{-1}(\alpha e_i \beta) \beta \triangleright e_j) S(Y^2 y^3) \rangle \\
&\quad \langle Y_1^1 y^1 \rightarrow e^i, S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1(e_k)_1 U^1 Y^3) \rangle \langle e^j, \tilde{q}^2(e_k)_2 U^2 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i,k=1}^n \langle e^k, Y_2^1 y^2 (S^{-1}(\alpha e_i Y_1^1 y^1 \beta) \beta \triangleright \tilde{q}^2(e_k)_2 U^2) S(Y^2 y^3) \rangle \\
&\quad \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1(e_k)_1 U^1 Y^3) \rangle \\
(3.2,2.8,2.12) \quad &= \sum_{i,k=1}^n \langle e^k, Y_2^1 y^2 S^{-1}(f^2 Y_{(1,2)}^1 y_2^1 \delta^2) (S^{-1}(\alpha e_i) \beta \triangleright \tilde{q}^2(e_k)_2 U^2) \\
&\quad \times f^1 Y_{(1,1)}^1 y_1^1 \delta^1 S(Y^2 y^3) \rangle \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1(e_k)_1 U^1 Y^3) \rangle \\
(4.12,2.3,2.15) \quad &= \sum_{i,k=1}^n \langle e^k, Y_2^1 S^{-1}(f^2 Y_{(1,2)}^1 p^2) (S^{-1}(\alpha e_i) \beta \triangleright \tilde{q}^2(e_k)_2 U^2) \\
&\quad \times f^1 Y_{(1,1)}^1 p^1 \beta S(Y^2) \rangle \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1(e_k)_1 U^1 Y^3) \rangle \\
(2.17,2.16) \quad &= \sum_{i,k=1}^n \langle S(\tilde{p}^1) \rightharpoonup e^k, S^{-1}(f^2 p^2) (S^{-1}(\alpha e_i) \beta \triangleright \tilde{q}^2(e_k)_2 U^2) f^1 p^1 \rangle \\
&\quad \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1(e_k)_1 U^1 \tilde{p}^2) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,k=1}^n \langle e^k, S^{-1}(f^2 p^2) (S^{-1}(\alpha e_i) \beta \triangleright \tilde{q}^2(e_k)_2 S(\tilde{p}^1)_2 U^2) f^1 p^1 \rangle \\
&\quad \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1(e_k)_1 S(\tilde{p}^1)_1 U^1 \tilde{p}^2) \rangle \\
(4.13) \quad &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e^k, S^{-1}(f^2 p^2) (S^{-1}(\alpha S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1(e_k)_1 P^1)) \beta \triangleright \tilde{q}^2(e_k)_2 P^2) f^1 p^1 \rangle \\
(3.2,2.8,2.15) \quad &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e^k \leftarrow x^3, S^{-1}(f^2 S^{-1}(F^1 \tilde{q}_1^1(e_k)_{(1,1)} p_1^1 g^1) x^2 \beta) \\
&\quad \times (S^{-1}(\alpha) \beta \triangleright \tilde{q}^2(e_k)_2 p^2) f^1 S^{-1}(F^2 \tilde{q}_2^1(e_k)_{(1,2)} p_2^1 g^2) x^1 \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e^k, S^{-1}(f^2 S^{-1}(F^1 \tilde{q}_1^1 x_{(1,1)}^3(e_k)_{(1,1)} p_1^1 g^1) x^2 \beta) \\
&\quad \times (S^{-1}(\alpha) \beta \triangleright \tilde{q}^2 x_2^3(e_k)_{(2,2)} p^2) f^1 S^{-1}(F^2 \tilde{q}_2^1 x_{(1,2)}^3(e_k)_{(1,2)} p_2^1 g^2) x^1 \rangle \\
(2.24,2.8,2.12) \quad &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e^k, S^{-1}(\gamma^2 S^{-1}(\tilde{Q}^1 X^1(e_k)_{(1,1)} p_1^1 g^1) \beta) \\
&\quad \times \beta_1 \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2 X^3(e_k)_{(2,2)} p^2 S(\beta_2) \gamma^1 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2 X^2(e_k)_{(1,2)} p_2^1 g^2) \rangle \\
(2.1,4.9) \quad &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e^k, S^{-1}(\gamma^2 S^{-1}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_k)_1 X^1 p_1^1 g^1) \beta) \\
&\quad \times \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_k)_{(2,2)} X^3 p^2 S(\beta_2) \gamma^1 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_k)_{(2,1)} X^2 p_2^1 g^2) \beta_1 \rangle \\
(4.10,2.12) \quad &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e^k, S^{-1}(\gamma^2 S^{-1}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_k)_1 X^1 p_1^1 \delta^1) \beta) \\
&\quad \times \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_k)_{(2,2)} X^3 p^2 \gamma^1 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_k)_{(2,1)} X^2 p_2^1 \delta^2) \rangle \\
(2.9,4.11) \quad &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e^k, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_k)_1 X^1 p_1^1 Y_1^1 x^1 \beta S(S(Z^1) \alpha y^3 Z_2^3 Y^3)) \\
&\quad \times \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_k)_{(2,2)} X^3 p^2 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_k)_{(2,1)} X^2 p_2^1 Y_2^1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times x^2 \beta S(S(y^1 Z^2) \alpha y^2 Z_1^3 Y^2 x^3) \rangle \\
(2.17, 2.1) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \langle Y_1^1 \rightharpoonup e^k, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_k)_1 X^1 p_1^1 x^1 \beta S(S(Z^1) \alpha y^3 Z_2^3 Y^3)) \\
& \times \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_k)_{(2,2)} X^3 p^2 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_k)_{(2,1)} X^2 p_2^1 \\
& \times x^2 \beta S(S(y^1 Z^2 Y_2^1) \alpha y^2 Z_1^3 Y^2 x^3) \rangle \\
(4.9, 2.3, 2.5) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e^k, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_k)_1 X^1 p_1^1 x^1 \beta S(\alpha)) Y^1 \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_k)_{(2,2)} X^3 p^2 \\
& \times S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_k)_{(2,1)} X^2 p_2^1 x^2 \beta S(S(Y^2) \alpha Y^3 x^3)) \rangle \\
(2.15, 4.9) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \langle q^1 \rightharpoonup e^k, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_k)_1 X^1 p_1^1 P^1 \beta S(\alpha)) \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2 \\
& \times (e_k)_{(2,2)} X^3 p^2 S(q^2) S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_k)_{(2,1)} X^2 p_2^1 P^2) \rangle \\
(2.1) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e^k, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_k)_1 X^1 (q_1^1 p^1)_1 P^1 \beta S(\alpha)) \tilde{q}^2 \tilde{Q}_2^2 \\
& \times (e_k)_{(2,2)} X^3 q_2^1 p^2 S(q^2) S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_k)_{(2,1)} X^2 (q_1^1 p^1)_2 P^2) \rangle \\
(2.20, 2.15) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e^k, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\tilde{Q}^1(e_k)_1 \beta S(\alpha)) \tilde{q}^2 \\
& \times \tilde{Q}_2^2(e_k)_{(2,2)} S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \tilde{Q}_1^2(e_k)_{(2,1)} \beta) \rangle \\
(2.5, 2.16) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e^k, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-2}(\alpha e_k \beta S(\alpha)) \tilde{q}^2 S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 \beta) \rangle \\
(2.16, 2.6) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e^k, S^{-2}(S(\beta) \alpha e_k \beta S(\alpha)) \rangle = \text{Tr} (h \mapsto S^{-2}(S(\beta) \alpha h \beta S(\alpha))),
\end{aligned}$$

so the proof is finished. $\square$

In Section 5 we will see that the representation-theoretic rank of H can be expressed in terms of integrals in H and H^* . This result is strictly connected to the trace formula for quasi-Hopf algebras.

4.2. The representation-theoretic rank of $D(H)$. Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra. Then H is an object in its own category of finite dimensional representations via the left regular action, so it makes sense to consider $\underline{\dim}(H)$.

The purpose of this subsection is to compute $\underline{\dim}(D(H))$. As we will see the computation is harder than the one for $\underline{\dim}(H)$ but the result will be the same. Again, we need some preliminary work.

Recall that $t \in H$ is called a left (respectively right) integral in H if $ht = \varepsilon(h)t$ (respectively $th = \varepsilon(h)t$), for all $h \in H$. We denote by $\int_l$ and $\int_r$ the space of left and right integrals in H . When H is finite dimensional we have that $\dim(\int_l) = \dim(\int_r) = 1$, $S(\int_l) = \int_r$ and $S(\int_r) = \int_l$ (see [21, 6]). In addition, if we define

$$\mathfrak{P}(h) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, \beta S^2(q^2(e_i)_2) h \rangle q^1(e_i)_1, \quad \forall h \in H,$$

then by [28] we have that $\mathfrak{P}(h) \in \int_l$, for all $h \in H$, and $\mathfrak{P}(t) = t$ for any $t \in \int_l$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{P}$ defines a projection from H to $\int_l$. Replacing the quasi-Hopf algebra H by H^{cop} we obtain a second projection onto the space of left integrals, denoted in what follows by $\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$. Since in H^{cop} we have $(q_R)_{cop} = \tilde{q}^2 \otimes \tilde{q}^1$ we obtain

$$(4.15) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}(h) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-1}(\beta)S^{-2}(\tilde{q}^1(e_i)_1)h \rangle \tilde{q}^2(e_i)_2 \in \int_l, \quad \forall h \in H.$$

We finally need the following formulas.

Lemma 4.4. *In a quasi-Hopf algebra H the following relations hold:*

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Omega_1^1 \delta^1 S^2(\Omega^4) \otimes \Omega_{(2,1)}^1 \delta_1^2 g^1 S(\Omega^3) \otimes \Omega_{(2,2)}^1 \delta_2^2 g^2 S(\Omega^2) \otimes \Omega^5 \\ &= X^1 p_1^1 P^1 S(f^1 \tilde{p}^1) \otimes X^2 p_2^1 P^2 \otimes X^3 p^2 \otimes S^{-1}(f^2 \tilde{p}^2), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.17) \quad \gamma^1 X^1 \otimes f^1 \gamma_1^2 X^2 \otimes f^2 \gamma_2^3 X^3 = S(X^3) f^1 \gamma_1^1 \otimes S(X^2) f^2 \gamma_2^1 \otimes S(X^1) \gamma^2,$$

$$(4.18) \quad q^1 x_1^1 \otimes S^{-1}(x^2) q^2 x_2^1 \otimes x^3 = X^1 \otimes S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1 X_1^3) X^2 \otimes \tilde{q}^2 X_2^3.$$

Here $\Omega = \Omega^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Omega^5$, $\delta = \delta^1 \otimes \delta^2$, $\gamma = \gamma^1 \otimes \gamma^2$, $f = f^1 \otimes f^2$, $f^{-1} = g^1 \otimes g^2$, $q_R = q^1 \otimes q^2$, $p_R = p^1 \otimes p^2 = P^1 \otimes P^2$ and $q_L = \tilde{q}^1 \otimes \tilde{q}^2$ are the elements defined in (2.31), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), (2.15) and (2.16), respectively.

Proof. Observe that the element δ in (2.9) can be rewritten as

$$\delta = Y_1^1 p^1 \beta S(Y^3) \otimes Y_2^1 p^2 S(Y^2).$$

Now, using the above description for δ and the definition of Ω we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega_1^1 \delta^1 S^2(\Omega^4) \otimes \Omega_{(2,1)}^1 \delta_1^2 g^1 S(\Omega^3) \otimes \Omega_{(2,2)}^1 \delta_2^2 g^2 S(\Omega^2) \otimes \Omega^5 \\ & \stackrel{(2.8)}{=} X_{(1,1),1}^1 y_1^1 p^1 \beta S(f^1 X^2) \otimes X_{(1,1)(2,1)}^1 y_{(2,1)}^1 p_1^2 g^1 S(X_2^1 y^3) \\ & \quad \otimes X_{(1,1)(2,2)}^1 y_{(2,2)}^1 p_2^2 g^2 S(X_{(1,2)}^1 y^2) \otimes S^{-1}(f^2 X^3) \\ & \stackrel{(2.22,2.1)}{=} Y^1 ((X_1^1)_{(1,1)} p^1)_1 P^1 \beta S(f^1 X^2) \otimes Y^2 ((X_1^1)_{(1,1)} p^1)_2 P^2 S(X_2^1) \\ & \quad \otimes Y^3 (X_1^1)_{(1,2)} p^2 S((X_1^1)_2) \otimes S^{-1}(f^2 X^3) \\ & \stackrel{(2.17,2.16)}{=} Y^1 p_1^1 P^1 S(f^1 \tilde{p}^1) \otimes Y^2 p_2^1 P^2 \otimes Y^3 p^2 \otimes S^{-1}(f^2 \tilde{p}^2), \end{aligned}$$

so the equality in (4.16) is proved. The relation in (4.17) follows more easily since

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma^1 X^1 \otimes f^1 \gamma_1^2 X^2 \otimes f^2 \gamma_2^3 X^3 \\ & \stackrel{(2.12)}{=} F^1 \alpha_1 X^1 \otimes f^1 F_1^2 \alpha_{(2,1)} X^2 \otimes f^2 F_2^2 \alpha_{(2,2)} X^3 \\ & \stackrel{(2.1,2.13)}{=} S(X^3) f^1 F_1^1 \alpha_{(1,1)} \otimes S(X^2) f^2 F_2^1 \alpha_{(1,2)} \otimes S(X^1) F^2 \alpha_2 \\ & \stackrel{(2.12)}{=} S(X^3) f^1 \gamma_1^1 \otimes S(X^2) f^2 \gamma_2^1 \otimes S(X^1) \gamma^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we denoted by $F^1 \otimes F^2$ another copy of f . Finally, (4.18) is an immediate consequence of (2.3) and (2.5). $\square$

We can now compute the representation-theoretic rank of $D(H)$. The next result generalizes [26, Proposition 2.1].

Proposition 4.5. *Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra and $D(H)$ its quantum double. Then*

$$\underline{\dim}(D(H)) = \underline{\dim}(H) = \text{Tr}(h \mapsto S^{-2}(S(\beta)\alpha h \beta S(\alpha))).$$

Proof. We set $p_R = p^1 \otimes p^2 = P^1 \otimes P^2$, $q_R = q^1 \otimes q^2 = Q^1 \otimes Q^2$ and $f = f^1 \otimes f^2 = F^1 \otimes F^2 = \mathcal{F}^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}^2$. In what follows, we shall not perform all the computations but we shall point out the relations which are used in every step.

The expression of η_D in Proposition 4.1 allows us to compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim(D(H)) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e_i \bowtie e^j, \eta_D(e^i \bowtie e_j) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \langle e_i \bowtie e^j, (\beta \leftarrow \overline{S}^{-1}(e^k) \leftarrow S(\beta)\alpha \bowtie e_k)(e^i \bowtie e_j) \rangle \\
(2.32) \quad &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \langle \overline{S}^{-1}(e^k), S(\beta)\alpha\Omega^5(e_i)_1\Omega^1\beta \rangle \\
&\quad \langle e^i, S^{-1}((e_k)_2)\Omega^4(e_i)_2\Omega^2(e_k)_{(1,1)} \rangle \langle e^j, \Omega^3(e_k)_{(1,2)}e_j \rangle \\
(2.8,2.12) \quad &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e^j, \Omega^3S^{-1}(S(\beta_1)\gamma^2\Omega_2^5(e_i)_{(1,2)}\Omega_2^1\delta^2)_2e_j \rangle \\
&\quad \langle e^i, S^{-2}(S(\beta_2)\gamma^1\Omega_1^5(e_i)_{(1,1)}\Omega_1^1\delta^1)\Omega^4(e_i)_2\Omega^2 \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}(S(\beta_1)\gamma^2\Omega_2^5(e_i)_{(1,2)}\Omega_2^1\delta^2)_1 \rangle \\
(2.8,4.16) \quad &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-2}(S(\beta_2)\gamma^1S^{-1}(F^2\tilde{p}^2)_1((e_i)_1)_1Y^1p_1^1P^1S(F^1\tilde{p}^1))(e_i)_2 \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}(f^2S(\beta_1)_2\gamma_2^2S^{-1}(F^2\tilde{p}^2)_{(2,2)}((e_i)_1)_{(2,2)}Y^3p^2) \rangle \\
&\quad \langle e^j, S^{-1}(f^1S(\beta_1)_1\gamma_1^2S^{-1}(F^2\tilde{p}^2)_{(2,1)}((e_i)_1)_{(2,1)}Y^2p_2^1P^2)e_j \rangle \\
(2.1,2.17) \quad &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-2}(S(\beta_2)\gamma^1Y^1(S^{-1}(F^2\tilde{p}^2)_1p^1)_1(e_i)_1P^1S(F^1\tilde{p}^1)) \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}(f^2S(\beta_1)_2\gamma_2^2Y^3S^{-1}(F^2\tilde{p}^2)_2p^2) \rangle \\
&\quad \langle e^j, S^{-1}(f^1S(\beta_1)_1\gamma_1^2Y^2(S^{-1}(F^2\tilde{p}^2)_1p^1)_2(e_i)_2P^2)e_j \rangle \\
(2.8,2.15,2.13) \quad &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-2}(S(\beta_2)\gamma^1Y^1S^{-1}(F^2x^3\tilde{p}_2^2g^2)_1(e_i)_1P^1S(\mathcal{F}^1F_1^1x^1\tilde{p}^1)) \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}(f^2S(\beta_1)_2\gamma_2^2Y^3S^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^2F_2^1x^2\tilde{p}_1^1g^1)\beta) \rangle \\
&\quad \langle e^j, S^{-1}(f^1S(\beta_1)_1\gamma_1^2Y^2S^{-1}(F^2x^3\tilde{p}_2^2g^2)_2(e_i)_2P^2)e_j \rangle \\
(2.14,2.5,2.16) \quad &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-2}(S(\beta_2)\gamma^1Y^1S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^2\tilde{p}_2^2g^2)_1(e_i)_1P^1S(\tilde{p}^1)\tilde{q}^1\tilde{p}_1^1g^1 \\
&\quad \times S(f^2S(\beta_1)_2\gamma_2^2Y^3)) \rangle \langle e^j, S^{-1}(f^1S(\beta_1)_1\gamma_1^2Y^2 \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^2\tilde{p}_2^2g^2)_2(e_i)_2P^2)e_j \rangle \\
(2.21,2.8) \quad &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-2}(S(\beta_2g^2)\gamma^1Y^1(e_i)_1P^1g^1S(f^2\gamma_2^2Y^3))\beta_{(1,1)} \rangle \\
&\quad \langle e^j, S^{-1}(f^1\gamma_1^2Y^2(e_i)_2P^2)\beta_{(1,2)}e_j \rangle \\
(4.17) \quad &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-2}(S(Y^3\beta_2g^2)f^1\gamma_1^1(e_i)_1(\beta_1)_{(1,1)}P^1g^1S(S(Y^1)\gamma^2)) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle e^j, (\beta_1)_2 S^{-1}(S(Y^2) f^2 \gamma_2^1(e_i)_2 (\beta_1)_{(1,2)} P^2) e_j \rangle \\
(2.17, 2.12) \quad & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle e^i, \gamma^1 S^{-2}(S(Y^3 \delta^2) f^1(e_i)_1 P^1 \delta^1 S(S(Y^1) \gamma^2)) \rangle \\
& \langle e^j, S^{-1}(S(Y^2) f^2(e_i)_2 P^2) e_j \rangle \\
(2.9, 4.12) \quad & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \bar{S}^{-2}(e^i), S(X^1 x_1^1 Y^1) \alpha x^3 y_2^3 Z^3 S^{-1}(f^1 P^1 y^1 \beta)(e_i)_2 Y^3 y^2 Z^1 \beta \\
& \times S(S(X^2) \alpha X^3 x^2 y_1^3 Z^2) S^2(x_2^1) \rangle \langle e^j, S^{-1}(f^2 P^2)(e_i)_1 Y^2 e_j \rangle \\
(4.7, 2.15) \quad & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \bar{S}^{-2}(e^i), S(q^1 x_1^1 Y^1) \alpha x^3 y_2^3 Z^3 S^{-1}(f^1 P^1 y^1 \beta)(e_i)_2 x_{(2,2)}^1 Y^3 \\
& \times y^2 Z^1 \beta S(S(q^2) x^2 y_1^3 Z^2) \rangle \langle e^j, S^{-1}(f^2 P^2)(e_i)_1 x_{(2,1)}^1 Y^2 e_j \rangle \\
(2.1, 4.7, 2.8) \quad & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \bar{S}^{-2}(e^i), S(q^1 Y^1) \alpha x^3 y_2^3 Z^3 S^{-1}(f^1(x_1^1)_{(1,1)} P^1 y^1 \beta)(e_i)_2 Y^3 x_2^1 \\
& \times y^2 Z^1 \beta S(S(q^2) x^2 y_1^3 Z^2) \rangle \\
& \langle e^j, (x_1^1)_2 S^{-1}(f^2(x_1^1)_{(1,2)} P^2)(e_i)_1 Y^2 e_j \rangle \\
(2.17, 2.3, 2.5) \quad & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \bar{S}^{-2}(e^i), S(q^1 Y^1) \alpha S^{-1}(f^1 P^1 p^1 \beta)(e_i)_2 Y^3 p^2 S^2(q^2) \rangle \\
& \langle e^j, S^{-1}(f^2 P^2)(e_i)_1 Y^2 e_j \rangle \\
(4.7, 2.23) \quad & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \bar{S}^{-2}(e^i), S(q^1 Q_1^1 x_{(1,1)}^1) \alpha S^{-1}(f^1 P^1 p^1 \beta)(e_i)_2 S^{-1}(x^2 g^1) \\
& \times Q^2 x_2^1 p^2 \rangle \langle e^j, S^{-1}(f^2 P^2)(e_i)_1 S^{-1}(x^3 g^2) q^2 Q_2^1 x_{(1,2)}^1 e_j \rangle \\
(2.8) \quad & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \bar{S}^{-1}(e^i), S(q^1 Q_1^1 x_{(1,1)}^1) \alpha S^{-1}(x^2(e_i)_1 P^1 p^1 \beta) Q^2 x_2^1 p^2 \rangle \\
& \langle e^j, S^{-1}(x^3(e_i)_2 P^2) q^2 Q_2^1 x_{(1,2)}^1 e_j \rangle \\
(4.7) \quad & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \bar{S}^{-1}(e^i), \alpha S^{-1}(x^2(e_i)_1 q_1^1 (Q^1 x_1^1)_{(1,1)} P^1 p^1 \beta) Q^2 x_2^1 p^2 \rangle \\
& \langle e^j, q^2 (Q^1 x_1^1)_2 S^{-1}(x^3(e_i)_2 q_2^1 (Q^1 x_1^1)_{(1,2)} P^2) e_j \rangle \\
(2.17, 2.20) \quad & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \bar{S}^{-1}(e^i), \alpha S^{-1}(x^2(e_i)_1 Q^1 x_1^1 p^1 \beta) Q^2 x_2^1 p^2 \rangle \langle e^j, S^{-1}(x^3(e_i)_2) e_j \rangle \\
(4.18, 4.7) \quad & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \bar{S}^{-1}(e^i), \alpha S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^1(e_i)_1 X^1 p^1 \beta) X^2 p^2 S(X^3) \rangle \langle e^j, S^{-1}(\tilde{q}^2(e_i)_2) e_j \rangle \\
(2.15, 4.15) \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n \langle e^j, S^{-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}(S^{-2}(\beta S(\alpha))) e_j \rangle \\
& = \varepsilon(\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}(S^{-2}(\beta S(\alpha)))) \\
(4.15) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e^i, S^{-2}(S(\beta) \alpha e_i \beta S(\alpha)) \rangle = \text{Tr}(h \mapsto S^{-2}(S(\beta) \alpha h \beta S(\alpha))),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last but one equality we used the fact that $S^{-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}(S^{-2}(\beta S(\alpha))))$ is a right integral in H . So the proof is complete. $\square$

We will end this Section by computing the representation-theoretic rank of $D^\omega(H)$, the quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra constructed in [11].

Let H be a finite dimensional cocommutative Hopf algebra and $\omega : H \otimes H \otimes H \rightarrow k$ a normalized 3-cocycle on H , this means a convolution invertible map satisfying the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(a_1, b_1, c_1 d_1) \omega(a_2 b_2, c_2, d_2) &= \omega(b_1, c_1, d_1) \omega(a_1, b_2 c_2, d_2) \omega(a_2, b_3, c_3), \\ \omega(1, a, b) &= \omega(a, 1, b) = \omega(a, b, 1) = \varepsilon(a) \varepsilon(b),\end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in H$. Identifying $(H \otimes H \otimes H)^*$ with $H^* \otimes H^* \otimes H^*$ we can regard ω and its convolution inverse ω^{-1} as elements of $H^* \otimes H^* \otimes H^*$. Then the commutative Hopf algebra H^* has a non-trivial quasi-Hopf algebra structure by keeping the usual multiplication, unit, comultiplication, counit and antipode of H^* , and defining the reassociator $\Phi = \omega^{-1}$ and the elements $\alpha = \varepsilon$, $\beta(h) = \omega(h_1, S(h_2), h_3)$, $h \in H$. We shall denote by H_ω^* the quasi-Hopf algebra structure on H^* defined above.

Now, roughly speaking, the quasi-Hopf algebra $D^\omega(H)$ can be identified as a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra with $D(H_\omega^*)$, the quantum double associated to the finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H_ω^* . Note that this point of view was given in [29], the initial construction of $D^\omega(H)$ being presented earlier in [11] as a generalization of the Dijkgraaf-Pasquier-Roche quasi-Hopf algebra $D^\omega(G)$ constructed in [15] (here G is a finite group and ω is a normalized 3-cocycle on G).

Having this description for $D^\omega(H)$ and the result in Proposition 4.5 we can easily compute its representation-theoretic rank. Note that, one of the goals in [10] was to compute this rank but at that moment only a partial answer was given.

Proposition 4.6. *Let H be a finite dimensional cocommutative Hopf algebra and ω a normalized 3-cocycle on H . Then $\underline{\dim}(D^\omega(H)) = \dim(H)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.5 we have that

$$\underline{\dim}(D^\omega(H)) = \text{Tr} \left(\varphi \mapsto \overline{S}^{-2}(\overline{S}(\beta) \alpha \varphi \beta \overline{S}(\alpha)) \right).$$

Since H^* is commutative we have that $\overline{S}^2 = id_{H^*}$. Moreover, $\alpha = \varepsilon$ and from [11] we know that β is convolution invertible with $\beta^{-1} = \overline{S}(\beta)$. Therefore, the above formula comes out explicitly as

$$\underline{\dim}(D^\omega(H)) = \text{Tr}(\varphi \mapsto \varphi) = \text{Tr}(id_{H^*}) = \dim(H^*) = \dim(H),$$

and this ends the proof. $\square$

5. THE TRACE FORMULA FOR QUASI-HOPF ALGEBRAS

When H is an ordinary Hopf algebra the formula in Proposition 4.5 reduces to $\underline{\dim}(H) = \underline{\dim}(D(H)) = \text{Tr}(S^{-2}) = \text{Tr}(S^2)$. As we have already explained in Introduction, using the Radford and Larson results [23, 24] on one hand, and the Etingof and Gelaki result [18] on the other hand, we obtain that

$$\underline{\dim}(H) = \underline{\dim}(D(H)) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } H \text{ is neither semisimple or cosemisimple} \\ \dim(H) & , \text{ if } H \text{ is both semisimple and cosemisimple.} \end{cases}$$

In this Section we will generalize to the quasi-Hopf algebra setting the first result. Even if a quasi-Hopf algebra is not a coassociative coalgebra, as we have seen in Introduction we can define the cosemisimple notion. Let us explain this more precisely.

Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra and t a non-zero right integral in H . Since $\int_l$ is a two-sided ideal of H , it follows from the uniqueness of the integrals in H that there exists $\mu \in H^*$ such that

$$th = \mu(h)t, \forall t \in \int_l \text{ and } h \in H.$$

Note that μ is an algebra map; as in the Hopf case we will call μ the distinguished group-like element of H^* . We notice that $\mu = \varepsilon$ if and only if H is unimodular, this means if and only if $\int_l = \int_r$.

Now, following [21], a left cointegral in H is an element $\lambda \in H^*$ such that

$$\lambda(V^2 h_2 U^2) V^1 h_1 U^1 = \mu(x^1) \lambda(h S(x^2)) x^3, \forall h \in H,$$

where $U = U^1 \otimes U^2$ is the element defined in (2.39) and

$$V = V^1 \otimes V^2 := S^{-1}(f^2 p^2) \otimes S^{-1}(f^1 p^1).$$

We will say that a left cointegral λ is normalized if $\lambda(S^{-1}(\alpha)\beta) = 1$ and we will call a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra H cosemisimple if H has a normalized left cointegral.

By $\mathcal{L}$ we denote the space of left cointegral in H . Then the map

$$(5.1) \quad \nu : \mathcal{L} \otimes H \rightarrow H^*, \nu(\lambda \otimes h)(h') = \lambda(h' S(h)) \forall \lambda \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } h, h' \in H,$$

is an isomorphism of right quasi-Hopf bimodules (the definition of a right quasi-Hopf H -bimodule can be found in [21]; roughly speaking it is a right H -comodule within the monoidal category of H -bimodules). Here $\mathcal{L} \otimes H$ and H^* are right quasi-Hopf H -bimodules via the structures

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{L} \otimes H : \begin{cases} h' \cdot (\lambda \otimes h) \cdot h'' = \mu(h'_1) \lambda \otimes h'_2 h h'' \\ \lambda \otimes h \mapsto \mu(x^1) \lambda \otimes x^2 h_1 \otimes x^3 h_2, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.3) \quad H^* : \begin{cases} \langle h' \rightharpoonup \varphi \leftharpoonup h'', h \rangle = \langle \varphi, S^{-1}(h') h S(h'') \rangle \\ \varphi \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n e^i * \varphi \otimes e_i, \end{cases}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$, $h, h', h'' \in H$ and $\varphi \in H^*$, where we denoted by $*$ the non-associative multiplication on H^* defined for all $\varphi, \psi \in H^*$ and $h \in H$ by

$$\langle \varphi * \psi, h \rangle := \langle \varphi, V^1 h_1 U^1 \rangle \langle \psi, V^2 h_2 U^2 \rangle.$$

It follows from the above that $\dim(\mathcal{L}) = 1$, and that for a fixed non-zero left cointegral λ in H the isomorphism ν defined in (5.1) induces a right H -linear isomorphism

$$\tilde{\nu} : H \rightarrow H^*, \tilde{\nu}(h)(h') = \lambda(h' S(h)) \forall h, h' \in H.$$

(Here H and H^* are right H -modules via the right regular representation and $(\varphi \leftharpoonup h)(h') = \varphi(h' S(h))$, respectively.) In particular, there is an unique $r \in H$ such that $\tilde{\nu}(r) = \varepsilon$, this means $\lambda(h S(r)) = \varepsilon(h)$, for all $h \in H$. As in the Hopf case we can show that r is a non-zero integral with the property that $\lambda(S(r)) = 1$. Indeed, the fact that $\tilde{\nu}$ is right H -linear implies:

$$\tilde{\nu}(rh) = \tilde{\nu}(r) \leftharpoonup h = \varepsilon \leftharpoonup h = \varepsilon(h) \varepsilon = \tilde{\nu}(\varepsilon(h)r),$$

for all $h \in H$. Since $\tilde{\nu}$ is bijective we conclude that $rh = \varepsilon(h)r$, for all $h \in H$, i.e. $r \in \int_r$. Now, $\tilde{\nu}(r) = \varepsilon$ implies $\lambda(hS(r)) = \varepsilon(h)$ for all $h \in H$, and this is equivalent to $\lambda(S(r)) = 1$.

As we will see the pair (λ, r) described above plays an important role in the trace formula for quasi-Hopf algebras. In particular, we will obtain an important result characterizing semisimple cosemisimple quasi-Hopf algebras in terms of the trace of the "square" of the antipode. Recall that a semisimple quasi-Hopf algebra is a quasi-Hopf algebra which is semisimple as an algebra.

Theorem 5.1. *Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra, μ the distinguished group-like element of H^* , λ a non-zero left cointegral in H and r a right integral in H such that $\lambda(S(r)) = 1$. Then:*

i) *For any endomorphism χ of H we have that*

$$\text{Tr}(\chi) = \mu(q_1^1 x^1) \lambda(\chi(q^2 x^3 r_2 p^2) S(q_2^1 x^2 r_1 p^1)).$$

ii) *$\text{Tr}(h \mapsto \beta S(\alpha) S^2(h) S(\beta) \alpha) = \varepsilon(r) \lambda(S^{-1}(\alpha) \beta)$. In particular, H is semisimple and cosemisimple if and only if $\text{Tr}(h \mapsto \beta S(\alpha) S^2(h) S(\beta) \alpha) \neq 0$.*

Proof. For any linear morphism $\chi : H \rightarrow H$ we denote by $\chi^* : H^* \rightarrow H^*$ the dual morphism of χ . We also denote by $\eta : H^* \otimes H \rightarrow \text{End}(H^*)$ the linear map defined for all $\varphi, \psi \in H^*$ and $h \in H$ by

$$\eta(\varphi \otimes h)(\psi) = \psi(h)\varphi.$$

Then, exactly as in [14, Section 7.4], one can easily see that

$$(5.4) \quad \eta(\varphi \otimes h) \circ \chi^* = \eta(\varphi \otimes \chi(h)),$$

$$(5.5) \quad \text{Tr}(\eta(\varphi \otimes h)) = \varphi(h),$$

for all $\varphi \in H^*$, $h \in H$ and $\chi \in \text{End}(H)$.

i) The fact that ν is right H -colinear shows by using of (5.2, 5.3) that

$$\varphi(V^1 h_1 U^1) \lambda(V^2 h_2 U^2 S(h')) = \mu(x^1) \varphi(x^3 h'_2) \lambda(h S(x^2 h'_1)),$$

for all $\varphi \in H^*$ and $h, h' \in H$. If we write the above equation for $h' = r$ and use the fact that $S(r) \in \int_l$ such that $\lambda(S(r)) = 1$, we obtain

$$\varphi(S^{-1}(\beta) h \alpha) = \mu(x^1) \varphi(x^3 r_2) \lambda(h S(x^2 r_1)),$$

for all $\varphi \in H^*$ and $h \in H$. In particular, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p^2 \rightharpoonup \varphi \leftarrow q^2, S^{-1}(\beta) S^{-1}(q^1) h S(p^1) \alpha \rangle \\ = \mu(x^1) \langle p^2 \rightharpoonup \varphi \leftarrow q^2, x^3 r_2 \rangle \lambda(S^{-1}(q^1) h S(p^1) S(x^2 r_1)), \end{aligned}$$

and this comes out explicitly as

$$\varphi(h) = \mu(q_1^1 x^1) \varphi(q^2 x^3 r_2 p^2) \lambda(h S(q_2^1 x^2 r_1 p^1)),$$

for all $\varphi \in H^*$ and $h \in H$, where we used the formula

$$(5.6) \quad \lambda(S^{-1}(h) h') = \mu(h_1) \lambda(h' S(h_2)), \quad \forall h, h' \in H,$$

which can be found in [6, Lemma 3.3]. In other words we have obtained

$$(5.7) \quad \eta(\lambda \leftarrow q_2^1 x^2 r_1 p^1 \otimes \mu(q_1^1 x^1) q^2 x^3 r_2 p^2) = id_{H^*}.$$

Now, using (5.4), (5.5) and the fact that $\text{Tr}(\chi) = \text{Tr}(\chi^*)$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}(\chi) &= \text{Tr}(\chi^*) = \text{Tr}(id_{H^*} \circ \chi^*) \\
&= \text{Tr}(\eta(\lambda \leftarrow q_2^1 x^2 r_1 p^1 \otimes \mu(q_1^1 x^1) q^2 x^3 r_2 p^2) \circ \chi^*) \\
&= \text{Tr}(\eta(\lambda \leftarrow q_2^1 x^2 r_1 p^1 \otimes \mu(q_1^1 x^1) \chi(q^2 x^3 r_2 p^2))) \\
&= \mu(q_1^1 x^1) \lambda(\chi(q^2 x^3 r_2 p^2) S(q_2^1 x^2 r_1 p^1)).
\end{aligned}$$

ii) One can easily see that (2.20) and $r \in \int_r$ imply:

$$r_1 \otimes r_2 = r_1 q_1^1 p^1 \otimes r_2 q_2^1 p^2 S(q^2) = r_1 p^1 \otimes r_2 p^2 \alpha.$$

Also, by (2.17) we have

$$r_1 p^1 h \otimes r_2 p^2 = (rh_1)_1 p^1 \otimes (rh_1)_2 p^2 S(h_2) = r_1 p^1 \otimes r_2 p^2 S(h),$$

for any $h \in H$. Combining the two relations above we obtain

$$(5.8) \quad r_1 \otimes r_2 = r_1 p^1 \otimes r_2 p^2 \alpha = r_1 p^1 S^{-1}(\alpha) \otimes r_2 p^2.$$

Now, by part i) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}(h \mapsto \beta S(\alpha) S^2(h) S(\beta) \alpha) &= \mu(q_1^1 x^1) \lambda(\beta S(\alpha) S^2(q^2 x^3 r_2 p^2) S(\beta) \alpha S(q_2^1 x^2 r_1 p^1)) \\
&\stackrel{(5.8)}{=} \mu(q_1^1 x^1) \lambda(\beta S(\alpha) S(q_2^1 x^2 r_1 \beta S(q^2 x^3 r_2))) \\
&\stackrel{(2.5.2.15)}{=} \varepsilon(r) \mu(q_1^1 p^1) \lambda(\beta S(\alpha) S(q_2^1 p^2 S(q^2))) \\
&\stackrel{(2.20)}{=} \varepsilon(r) \lambda(\beta S(\alpha)).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we claim that $\varepsilon(r) \lambda(\beta S(\alpha)) = \varepsilon(r) \lambda(S^{-1}(\alpha) \beta)$. Indeed, if H is not semisimple then by [30] we have that $\varepsilon(r) = 0$ and therefore $\varepsilon(r) \lambda(\beta S(\alpha)) = \varepsilon(r) \lambda(S^{-1}(\alpha) \beta) = 0$. On the other hand, if H is semisimple then by the same result in [30] we have that $\varepsilon(\int_l) = \varepsilon(\int_r) \neq 0$. In this situation, applying similar arguments as in the Hopf algebra case we can prove that H is unimodular, so $\mu = \varepsilon$. Finally, by (5.6) we get

$$\lambda(S^{-1}(\alpha) \beta) = \mu(\alpha_1) \lambda(\beta S(\alpha_2)) = \varepsilon(\alpha_1) \lambda(\beta S(\alpha_2)) = \lambda(\beta S(\alpha)),$$

as claimed. Thus the proof is finished. $\square$

As a consequence of Proposition 4.5 and Theorem 5.1 we obtain the following formula for the representation-theoretic ranks of H and $D(H)$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let H be a finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebra, λ a left cointegral in H and r a right integral in H such that $\lambda(r) = 1$. Then*

$$\underline{\dim}(H) = \underline{\dim}(D(H)) = \varepsilon(r) \lambda(S^{-1}(\alpha) \beta) = \varepsilon_D(\beta \rightharpoonup \lambda \bowtie r).$$

In particular, if H is not semisimple or cosemisimple then

$$\underline{\dim}(H) = \underline{\dim}(D(H)) = 0.$$

Proof. By λ_{op} we denote a left cointegral in H^{op} . It is straightforward to check that in H^{op} we have $\mu_{\text{op}} = \mu^{-1} := \mu \circ S$, and that the roles of U and V interchange. So λ_{op} is an element of H^* satisfying

$$\lambda_{\text{op}}(V^2 h_2 U^2) V^1 h_1 U^1 = \mu^{-1}(X^1) \lambda_{\text{op}}(S^{-1}(X^2) h) X^3, \quad \forall h \in H.$$

Note that, if H is unimodular then $\mu = \varepsilon$ and therefore a left cointegral in H^{op} is nothing else than a left cointegral in H .

Applying now Theorem 5.1 to the quasi-Hopf algebra H^{op} we obtain

$$\text{Tr}(h \mapsto S^{-2}(S(\beta)\alpha h\beta S(\alpha)) = \varepsilon(t)\lambda_{\text{op}}(S^{-1}(\alpha)\beta),$$

where t is a left integral in H such that $\lambda_{\text{op}}(S^{-1}(t)) = 1$. If we denote $r = S^{-1}(t)$ we get that r is a right integral in H such that $\lambda_{\text{op}}(r) = 1$. It follows that $\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon(r)$, and that

$$\underline{\dim}(H) = \underline{\dim}(D(H)) = \text{Tr}(h \mapsto S^{-2}(S(\beta)\alpha h\beta S(\alpha)) = \varepsilon(r)\lambda_{\text{op}}(S^{-1}(\alpha)\beta).$$

Finally, we apply the same trick as in the proof of the above Theorem. Namely, if H is not semisimple then $\varepsilon(r) = 0$ and we are done. If H is semisimple then it is unimodular. In this case we have seen that λ_{op} is a cointegral in H and since $\lambda_{\text{op}}(r) = 1$ the above equality finishes the proof. $\square$

Remark 5.3. It is conjectured in [21] that $\beta \rightharpoonup \lambda \bowtie r$ is a left integral in $D(H)$. If it is the case then by the Maschke's theorem proved in [30] we obtain that $\underline{\dim}(H) = \underline{\dim}(D(H)) \neq 0$ if and only if $D(H)$ is a semisimple quasi-Hopf algebra. Now, we conjecture that $D(H)$ is semisimple if and only if H is both semisimple and cosemisimple, if and only if $h \mapsto S^{-2}(S(\beta)\alpha h\beta S(\alpha)) = id_H$. If it is true then the scalar $\underline{\dim}(H) = \underline{\dim}(D(H))$ has the same value as in the Hopf algebra case.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abe, "Hopf algebras", Cambridge University Press, 1980.
- [2] D. Altschuler and A. Coste, Quasi-quantum groups, knots, three-manifolds, and topological field theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **150** (1992), 83–107.
- [3] D. Bulacu and B. Torrecillas, Factorizable quasi-Hopf algebras–applications, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **194** (2004), 39–84.
- [4] D. Bulacu, S. Caenepeel and F. Panaite, Yetter-Drinfeld categories for quasi-Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, to appear.
- [5] D. Bulacu and S. Caenepeel, The quantum double for quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **31**(3) (2003), 1403–1425.
- [6] D. Bulacu and S. Caenepeel, Integrals for (dual) quasi-Hopf algebras. Applications, *J. Algebra* **266**(2) (2003), 552–583.
- [7] D. Bulacu and E. Nauwelaerts, Quasitriangular and ribbon quasi-Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **31**(2) (2003), 657–672.
- [8] D. Bulacu and E. Nauwelaerts, Radford's biproduct for quasi-Hopf algebras and bosonization, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **174** (2002), 1–42.
- [9] D. Bulacu, F. Panaite and F. Van Oystaeyen, Quasi-Hopf algebra actions and smash products, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), 631–651.
- [10] D. Bulacu, F. Panaite and F. Van Oystaeyen, Quantum traces and quantum dimensions for quasi-Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999), 6103–6122.
- [11] D. Bulacu and F. Panaite, A generalization of the quasi-Hopf algebra $D^\omega(G)$, *Comm. Algebra* **26** (1998), 4125–4141.
- [12] D. Bulacu, F. Panaite and F. Van Oystaeyen, Generalized diagonal crossed products and smash products for (quasi) Hopf algebras. Applications, preprint.
- [13] D. Bulacu, S. Caenepeel and B. Torrecillas, Involutory (dual) quasi-Hopf algebras, preprint 2006.
- [14] S. Dăscălescu, C. Năstăsescu and Ş. Raianu, "Hopf algebras: An Introduction", in *Monographs Textbooks in Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol. **235**, Dekker, New York, 2001.
- [15] R. Dijkgraaf, V. Pasquier and P. Roche, Quasi-Hopf algebras, group cohomology and orbifold models, *Nuclear Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **18 B** (1990), 60–72.
- [16] V. G. Drinfeld, Quasi-Hopf algebras, *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990), 1419–1457.
- [17] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, On fusion categories, *Ann. of Math.* **162** (2) (2005), 581–642.

- [18] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki, On finite dimensional semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebras in positive characteristic, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **16** (1998), 851–864.
- [19] F. Hausser and F. Nill, Diagonal crossed products by duals of quasi-quantum groups, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **11** (1999), 553–629.
- [20] F. Hausser and F. Nill, Doubles of quasi-quantum groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **199** (1999), 547–589.
- [21] F. Hausser and F. Nill, Integral theory for quasi-Hopf algebras, preprint math. QA/9904164.
- [22] C. Kassel, "Quantum Groups", in *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Vol. **155**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [23] R. G. Larson and D. E. Radford, Finite-dimensional cosemisimple Hopf algebras in characteristic 0 are semisimple, *J. Algebra* **117** (1988), 267–289.
- [24] R. G. Larson and D. E. Radford, Semisimple cosemisimple Hopf algebras, *Amer. J. Math.* **110** (1988), 187–195.
- [25] S. Majid, Quantum double for quasi-Hopf algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **45** (1998), 1–9.
- [26] S. Majid, Representation-theoretic rank and double Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **18**(11) (1990), 3705–3712.
- [27] S. Majid, "Foundations of quantum group theory", Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [28] F. Panaite and F. V. Oystaeyen, Existence of integrals for finite dimensional quasi-Hopf algebras, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.-Simon Stevin* **7** (2000), 261–264.
- [29] F. Panaite and F. V. Oystaeyen, Quasi-Hopf algebras and the centre of a tensor category, in "Hopf algebras and quantum groups" (Brussels, 1998), 221–235, Caenepeel S. and Van Oystaeyen F. (eds.), *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, Vol. **209**, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [30] F. Panaite, A Maschke-type theorem for quasi-Hopf algebras, in "Rings, Hopf algebras, and Brauer groups" (Antwerp/Brussels, 1996), 201–207, Caenepeel S. and Verschoren A. (eds.), *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, Vol. **197**, Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [31] D. E. Radford, Minimal quasitriangular Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **157** (1993), 285–315.
- [32] D. E. Radford, The trace function and Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **163** (1994), 583–622.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, STR. ACADEMIEI 14,
RO-010014, BUCHAREST 1, ROMANIA
E-mail address: dbulacu@al.math.unibuc.ro

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND ANALYSIS, UNIVERSITY OF ALMERIA, 04071 ALMERIA, SPAIN
E-mail address: btorreci@ual.es